

Toward gas exhaustion in the W51 high-mass protoclusters

Adam Ginsburg¹, W. M. Goss², Ciriaco Goddi^{3,4}, Roberto Galván-Madrid⁵, James E. Dale⁶, John Bally⁷, Cara D. Battersby⁸, Allison Youngblood⁹, Ravi Sankrit¹², Rowan Smith¹¹, Jeremy Darling⁷, J. M. Diederik Kruijssen^{13,14}, Haoyu Baobab Liu¹

¹ *European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Straße 2, D-85748 Garching bei München, Germany*
e-mail: Adam.Ginsburg@eso.org

² *National Radio Astronomy Observatory, Socorro, NM 87801 USA*

³ *Department of Astrophysics/IMAPP, Radboud University Nijmegen, PO Box 9010, 6500 GL Nijmegen, the Netherlands*

⁴ *ALLEGRO/Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, PO Box 9513, NL-2300 RA Leiden, the Netherlands*

⁵ *Instituto de Radioastronomía y Astrofísica, UNAM, A.P. 3-72, Xangari, Morelia, 58089, Mexico*

⁶ *University Observatory/Excellence Cluster ‘Universe’ Scheinerstraße 1, 81679 München, Germany*

⁷ *CASA, University of Colorado, 389-UCB, Boulder, CO 80309*

⁸ *Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA*

⁹ *LASP, University of Colorado, 600 UCB, Boulder, CO 80309*

¹² *SOFIA Science Center, NASA Ames Research Center, M/S 232-12, Moffett Field, CA 94035, USA*

¹¹ *Jodrell Bank Centre for Astrophysics, School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, UK*

¹³ *Max-Planck Institut für Astrophysik, Karl-Schwarzschild-Straße 1, 85748 Garching, Germany*

¹⁴ *Astronomisches Rechen-Institut, Zentrum für Astronomie der Universität Heidelberg, Mönchhofstraße 12-14, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany*

Date: 2016/06/21 Time: 01:08:19

ABSTRACT

We present new JVLA observations of the high-mass cluster-forming region W51A from 2 to 16 GHz with resolution $\theta_{fwhm} \approx 0.3 - 0.5''$. The data reveal a wealth of observational results: (1) Currently-forming, very massive (proto-O) stars are traced by o-H₂CO $2_{1,1} - 2_{1,2}$ emission, suggesting that this line can be used efficiently as a massive protostar tracer. (2) There is a spatially distributed population of \lesssim mJy continuum sources, including hypercompact H II regions and candidate colliding wind binaries, in and around the W51 proto-clusters. (3) There are two clearly detected protoclusters, W51e and W51 IRS2, that are gas-rich but may have most of their mass in stars within their inner $\lesssim 0.05$ pc. The majority of the bolometric luminosity in W51 most likely comes from a third population of OB stars between these clusters. The presence of a substantial population of exposed O-stars coincident with a population of still-forming massive stars, along with a direct measurement of the low mass loss rate via ionized gas outflow from W51 IRS2, together imply that feedback is ineffective at halting star formation in massive protoclusters. Instead, feedback may shut off the large-scale accretion of diffuse gas onto the W51 protoclusters, implying that they are evolving towards a state of gas exhaustion rather than gas expulsion. Recent theoretical models predict gas exhaustion to be a necessary step in the formation of gravitationally bound stellar clusters, and our results provide an observational validation of this process.

1. Introduction

¹ The highest-mass clusters forming in our Galaxy have masses $M \gtrsim \text{few} \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$ (Portegies Zwart et al. 2010). These rare regions mark the high end of the protocluster mass function. Recent surveys have provided a complete census of all protoclusters in this high mass range (Ginsburg et al. 2012; Urquhart et al. 2013, 2014b). As the most massive and dense star-forming regions in the Galaxy, these locations provide an important local analogue to the early universe, where stars more commonly formed in clusters and generally formed in denser gas (Kruijssen 2012; Madau & Dickinson 2014).

In such environments, feedback from massive stars is an important factor. Most star formation within high-mass clusters is likely to occur after at least one high-mass star has ignited. Many open questions remain about the ef-

fects of this feedback, as the physical complexity of such regions renders them difficult to simulate. A crucial question is whether the clusters complete the star formation process by consuming most of their gas (exhaustion) or by removing it via feedback (expulsion; Kruijssen et al. 2012; Longmore et al. 2014; Matzner & Jumper 2015). The balance between the two processes is expected to depend on the gas volume density because higher-density gas clumps are capable of evolving through a larger number of free-fall times before the gas supply is shut off by feedback, and thus higher-density clumps attain larger star formation efficiencies (Kruijssen 2012). This distinction therefore governs whether the forming stars will be observable as a bound cluster or an expanding OB association. When integrated over the density probability distribution function of the interstellar medium in a galaxy, this argument predicts that the fraction of star formation occurring in bound clusters increases with the gas pressure and surface density,

¹ This paper and all related analysis code are available on the web at https://github.com/adamginsburg/paper_w51_evla.

as is indeed observed (Goddard et al. 2010; Kruijssen 2012; Adamo et al. 2015).

W51 contains two of the best candidates for protoclusters that will experience gas exhaustion. This region is already extremely luminous both bolometrically and in the radio continuum. Of all the star forming regions included in the Red MSX Survey, which is complete to sources with infrared luminosity $L > 10^4 L_\odot$, W51 is the most luminous (Urquhart et al. 2014a) and among the closest at a parallax-measured distance of 5.41 kpc (Sato et al. 2010; Xu et al. 2009). The total luminosity of the W51 protocluster complex has been estimated using IRAS and KAO, $L_{bol} \sim 9.3 \times 10^6 (D/5.4 \text{ kpc})^2 L_\odot$ (Harvey et al. 1986; Sievers et al. 1991). The pair of clusters in W51 providing this luminosity, W51 Main and W51 IRS2, each contain $\sim 5 \times 10^4 M_\odot$ of molecular gas (Ginsburg et al. 2012).

As one of the most luminous star-forming regions in our Galaxy, W51 has been well-studied in the radio and millimeter. Previous investigations have shown that the protoclusters within W51 contain many forming massive stars. Five high-mass protostars have been identified: W51 North, W51e8, W51e2w, W51e2e, W51e2nw, and W51d2 (Zhang & Ho 1997; Keto & Klaassen 2008; Zapata et al. 2008, 2009, 2010; Shi et al. 2010a,b; Surcis et al. 2012; Goddi et al. 2015, 2016). Another handful have already reached the main sequence and are visible in the near infrared (Goldader & Wynn-Williams 1994; Okumura et al. 2000; Kumar et al. 2004; Barbosa et al. 2008; Figuerêdo et al. 2008).

W51 contains many distinct H II regions. These are classified as extended H II regions (~ 10 pc), ultracompact H II regions (UCH II's; $r \sim 0.1$ pc), or hypercompact H II regions (HCH II's; $r < 0.05$ pc Kurtz 2002). About ten HCH II regions, which are the youngest H II region class and likely contain accreting proto-OB stars (Peters et al. 2010a), have been found in W51 (Mehringer 1994). However, the census of both massive stars and protostars in W51 is incomplete.

We present observations of the inner few parsecs of the W51 protocluster forming region. We refer to the whole region, including W51 Main (which contains the W51e sources) and W51 IRS2 as 'W51A'. There is a shell centered on W51 Main that has a particularly bright northwest edge that is known as W51 IRS1. Other names are explained when they are used. An overview of the nomenclature used, including labels, is in Figures 2 and A.2.

In Section 2, we describe the observations and data reduction. Section 3 presents the observational results, summarizing key features identified in the images and describing the point-source photometry (§3.1). Section 4 provides analysis and interpretation of the observational results. Section 5 discusses the implications of the observations. Section 6 states the conclusions.

Finally, we include the following appendices: Appendix A provides figures labeling named objects, B provides cutout images and SED plots for all point sources, C includes tables of observational metadata, and D shows the velocity field of the molecular gas.

2. Observations

We used the Karl G. Jansky Very Large Array (JVLA) in multiple bands and configurations. The observations and resulting images are summarized in Table 1. The complete observational metadata, including times of observation and

program IDs, are in Table C.1. In project 12B-365, we observed in A-array in S and C bands (3 and 5 GHz, approximately) with 2 GHz total bandwidth. In project 13A-064, we observed in C-band in C (1h) and A (5h) arrays and in Ku-band in D (1h) and B (5h) arrays. We also include continuum data from project 12A-274 (Goddi et al. 2015, 2016) covering the range 25-36 GHz using the JVLA B array configuration.

Our spectral coverage included o-H₂CO $1_{1,0} - 1_{1,1}$ at 4.82966 GHz and $2_{1,1} - 2_{1,2}$ at 14.488 GHz with 0.3 km s^{-1} resolution and the radio recombination lines (RRLs) H77 α (14.1286 GHz) and H110 α (4.8741 GHz) at 1 km s^{-1} resolution. The H110 α line had lower S/N than the H77 α line but was otherwise similar; it is not discussed further in this paper.

Data reduction was performed using CASA² (McMullin et al. 2007). The pipeline-calibrated products were used, then imaging was performed using CLEAN. For most images discussed here, we used uniform weighting. The reduction scripts are included in a repository <https://github.com/adamginsburg/w51evlareductionscripts>.

We did not achieve a thermal-noise-limited image in any of the 13A-064 data. For example, in the C-band A-array data, the thermal noise is $\sim 5 \mu\text{Jy}$, while the achieved RMS is $\sim 30 - 60 \mu\text{Jy}$ depending on location in the image. There is a large amount of resolved-out structure in the images that hinders cleaning. The images are generally artifact-dominated at the low-signal end, where inadequately cleaned sidelobes of bright features provide significant non-gaussian noise. Some of these artifacts can be seen in the C-band image, Figure 2 (or Figure A.1 without annotations).

Despite the deficiency in the image quality, the new observations are $\sim 5\times$ more sensitive than any previous observations. The new observations also cover a much broader range of frequencies than those performed previously with the VLA. Table 1 gives the estimated noise in each image and an estimate of the dynamic range, which is the peak intensity in the image divided by the noise measured in a signal-free region of the image.

Figures 1 and 2 show the best-quality images in the Ku-band and C-band combining the short and long array configurations. These images were made from a combination of the full bandwidth in their respective bands. They were used in the figures in the main text of the paper and for source identification but not for photometry. Instead, for the 13A-064 data, individual 1 GHz bands including only the longer-baseline configuration were used to measure the intensity of the identified sources.

For the spectral line analysis, we used the cubes listed in Table 2. For the Ku-band naturally-weighted cube, the noise is higher than in the robust-weighted cube because large angular scale artifacts are prevalent, especially at the image edges.

In some figures, we show unsharp-masked versions of the data. These are equivalent to images made excluding short baselines, but we instead performed unsharp masking (subtracting a gaussian-smoothed version of the image from itself) in the image domain for computational convenience.

² <http://casa.nrao.edu>

Table 1. Observations

Epoch	Frequency GHz	BMAJ "	BMIN "	BPA °	Noise Estimate mJy beam ⁻¹	Dynamic Range	Jy-Kelvin
2	2.5	0.54	0.53	-175	0.20	54	6.8×10^5
2	3.5	0.41	0.39	0	0.06	190	6.3×10^5
1	4.9	0.45	0.38	88	0.18	150	3×10^5
2	4.9	0.32	0.29	-84	0.04	320	5.6×10^5
3	4.9	0.33	0.26	68	0.06	200	5.9×10^5
3	5.5	0.29	0.22	80	0.03	420	6.4×10^5
2	5.9	0.27	0.23	-81	0.03	460	5.7×10^5
3	5.9	0.31	0.19	74	0.03	420	6×10^5
1	8.4	0.47	0.39	82	0.08	860	9.5×10^4
2	12.6	0.38	0.35	83	0.08	1200	5.7×10^4
2	13.4	0.34	0.33	14	0.05	1800	6×10^4
2	14.1	0.34	0.33	68	0.09	1200	5.6×10^4
1	22.5	0.32	0.29	-84	0.57	280	2.6×10^4
2	25.0	0.28	0.24	-4	0.64	300	2.8×10^4
2	27.0	0.25	0.22	56	1.13	140	3.1×10^4
2	29.0	0.23	0.21	63	1.32	86	3×10^4
2	33.0	0.21	0.18	54	1.11	130	2.9×10^4
2	36.0	0.18	0.17	74	1.23	72	3.1×10^4

Jy-Kelvin gives the conversion factor from Jy to Kelvin given the synthesized beam size and observation frequency.

Table 2. Spectral Cubes

Cube ID	Frequency GHz	Channel Width km s ⁻¹	RMS mJy beam ⁻¹	RMS _K K	BMAJ "	BMIN "	BPA °	Jy-Kelvin
1-1 Uniform	4.829660	0.50	1.03	63	0.51	0.35	84	6.1×10^4
1-1 Natural	4.829660	0.50	0.56	1.6×10^2	1.06	0.81	52	2.9×10^5
H77 α Briggs 0	14.128610	1.33	0.33	12	0.41	0.42	13	3.6×10^4
2-2 Natural	14.488479	0.50	1.28	7.6	1.13	0.87	57	5.9×10^3
2-2 Briggs 0	14.488479	0.50	0.50	17	0.43	0.42	20	3.3×10^4

Jy-Kelvin gives the conversion factor from Jy to Kelvin given the synthesized beam size and observation frequency.

3. Observational Results

We report seven distinct observational results:

1. Section 3.1: The detection of new continuum sources, including hypercompact H II regions and probable colliding wind binaries.
2. Section 3.1.1: The detection of variability in some of the faint point sources over a 20 year timescale.
3. Section 3.1.2: The detection of radio continuum sources associated with near-infrared sources, most likely unembedded O-stars.
4. Section 3.2: The detection of H₂CO 2_{1,1} – 2_{1,2} emission around sources e2, e8, and W51 North.
5. Section 3.3: Measurements of line-of-sight velocities toward many ultracompact H II regions using H77 α and/or H₂CO.
6. Section 3.4: Detection of Orion-bar-like sharp edges to the W51 Main / W51 IRS1 H II region, most likely tracing photon-dominated regions (PDRs).
7. Section 3.5: Detection of a ~ 0.1 pc ionized outflow in H77 α that had previously been identified in mid-infrared ionized line emission.

3.1. Continuum sources and photometry

We report new detections of several sources and concrete identifications of others that were detected in previous data sets but not reported.

We follow the naming scheme introduced by Mehringer (1994). For the compact ($r < 1''$) sources within 1 arcminute of W51e2, we use the name W51e followed by a number. We identify two new sources, e9 and e10, which were previously detected but never named. We split source e8 into a north and south component, plus a more extended molecular component e8mol. We also identify a molecular component between e1, e8, and e10: e10mol. The source positions and approximate radii for resolved continuum sources are shown in Figure 2 and listed in Table 3. The positions and radii are estimated by eye; they are not fits to the data.

We include in this catalog any pointlike sources (at $\sim 0.2 - 0.4''$ resolution) with emission in two bands, Ku and C (14 and 5 GHz; Table 4). To identify point sources, we used uniformly-weighted images. These images exclude much of the extended emission, which makes point source detection more straightforward. There is some reason for concern about this approach, since it can make local peaks of the diffuse emission appear to be pointlike. However, for many of the faint and low-significance peaks, we have found clear near-infrared associations (Section 3.1.2) and have detected the sources in multiple bands, suggesting that these point sources are real.

The point source photometry data are in Table 4. The Epoch column describes the data source: Epoch 1 comes from Mehringer (1994), Epoch 2 comes from 12A-274, 12B-365, or 13A-064 (mid 2012-early 2013), and Epoch 3 (C-

Table 3. Source Positions

Source Name	RA	Dec	Radius "	Phys. Radius pc	SED Class	Classification
Between	19:23:41.47	14:30:51.0	4.5	0.11	<i>E</i>	-
G49.46-0.36	19:23:35.12	14:29:55.8	34.4	0.85	<i>E</i>	-
G49.46-0.38	19:23:40.18	14:29:39.1	19.1	0.47	<i>E</i>	-
W51 IRS 2	19:23:39.89	14:31:08.3	1.3	0.03	<i>E</i>	-
W51 IRS 2 bubble	19:23:39.90	14:31:08.5	21.4	0.53	<i>E</i>	-
W51 Main 40 kms RRL Shell	19:23:42.23	14:30:40.2	7.8	0.19	<i>E</i>	-
W51 Main 65 kms RRL shell	19:23:42.55	14:30:43.1	10.8	0.27	<i>E</i>	-
W51 Main Shell	19:23:44.68	14:30:23.5	41.5	1.03	<i>E</i>	-
W51G 8	19:23:50.69	14:32:50.1	7.1	0.18	<i>E</i>	-
arc	19:23:41.00	14:30:37.3	6.0	0.15	<i>E</i>	-
d2	19:23:39.82	14:31:04.9	-	-	<i>b, d, n</i>	HCHII
d3	19:23:35.87	14:31:27.9	1.9	0.05	<i>E</i>	UCHII
d4e	19:23:39.64	14:31:30.6	-	-	<i>f, v</i>	cCWB
d4w	19:23:39.60	14:31:30.4	-	-	<i>f</i>	cCWB
d5	19:23:41.78	14:31:27.6	-	-	<i>w</i>	cCWB
d6	19:23:41.24	14:31:11.6	-	-	<i>f, n</i>	cCWB
d7	19:23:40.92	14:31:06.7	-	-	<i>f</i>	cCWB
e1	19:23:43.78	14:30:26.1	0.7	0.02	<i>E</i>	UCHII
e2	19:23:43.91	14:30:34.6	-	-	<i>a, d</i>	HCHII
e3	19:23:43.84	14:30:24.7	-	-	<i>b, d</i>	HCHII
e4	19:23:43.91	14:30:29.5	-	-	<i>b, d</i>	HCHII
e5	19:23:41.86	14:30:56.8	-	-	<i>b, d</i>	HCHII
e6	19:23:41.79	14:31:02.7	1.0	0.03	<i>E</i>	UCHII
e7	19:23:44.79	14:29:11.3	1.8	0.04	<i>E</i>	UCHII
e8n	19:23:43.91	14:30:28.2	-	-	<i>b/w</i>	HCHII
e8s	19:23:43.91	14:30:27.9	-	-	<i>b</i>	HCHII
e9	19:23:43.65	14:30:26.8	-	-	<i>c, f</i>	HCHII
e10	19:23:43.96	14:30:27.0	-	-	<i>f</i>	HCHII
e11	19:23:45.58	14:30:26.2	-	-	<i>b</i>	HCHII
e11d	19:23:45.69	14:30:29.1	3.6	0.09	<i>E</i>	HII
e12	19:23:42.86	14:30:30.4	-	-	<i>E</i>	-
e13	19:23:42.81	14:30:36.9	-	-	<i>b, c, E</i>	cCWB/HII
e14	19:23:42.61	14:30:42.1	-	-	<i>b, c, E</i>	cCWB/HII
e15	19:23:38.65	14:30:05.7	-	-	<i>b, c, E</i>	UCHII
e16	19:23:46.51	14:29:50.2	-	-	<i>E</i>	-
e17	19:23:45.85	14:29:50.2	-	-	<i>E</i>	-
e18	19:23:46.18	14:29:46.9	-	-	<i>E</i>	-
e18d	19:23:46.18	14:29:44.0	3.2	0.08	<i>E</i>	HII
e19	19:23:44.83	14:29:45.0	-	-	<i>E</i>	-
e20	19:23:42.86	14:30:27.6	-	-	<i>E</i>	-
e21	19:23:42.83	14:30:27.8	-	-	<i>E</i>	-
e22	19:23:42.78	14:30:27.6	-	-	<i>E</i>	-
e23	19:23:43.06	14:30:34.9	-	-	<i>E</i>	-

Objects with name e#d are the diffuse counterparts to point sources. The absolute positional accuracy is $\sim 0.2''$. Sources with no radius are unresolved, with upper limits of $0.3''$ (0.007 pc). Source names correspond to the labels in Figures 2 and A.2.

a: ν^2 dependence

b: ν^1 dependence

c: $\nu < 5$ GHz excess or negative index

d: $\nu > 15$ GHz flat

f: ν -independent flat

E: extended (photometry not trustworthy)

n: near bright, extended emission (may affect photometry)

v: Likely variable

w: Too weak for SED classification

HCHII: Hypercompact H II region

UCHII: Ultracompact H II region

HII: Extended H II region or part of a larger H II region

cCWB: candidate colliding-wind binaries




Fig. 1. The Ku-band (14.5 GHz, tracing ionized gas) image of the W51 region produced with a combination of JVL A B and D array data using uniform weighting. The synthesized beam size is $\theta_{FWHM} \approx 0.33''$. The compact, circular region in the upper-right corner is IRS2, while the more diffuse bright region in the center is IRS1, marking the edge of the W51 Main shell. Compact sources are identified in Figure 2.

band only) comes from 13A-064 in 2014. For the multi-configuration combined images, we list the date of the highest-resolution observations. Full details of the data provenance are given in Table C.1.

There are two intensity columns in Table 4. The first shows the peak intensity within a circular aperture with a radius equal to the beam major FWHM. The second column, ‘Peak - Background’, shows the peak intensity minus the minimum intensity in a box 6×6 beam FWHMs. This background-subtracted intensity is meant to account for negative bowling that affects parts of the images; there are cases in which a bright point source is seen on a deeply negative background such that the measured peak intensity is near zero. When these two values agree, they are reliable, but when they differ significantly, they are probably affected by image reconstruction artifacts and the background-subtracted version is preferred.

The RMS column gives the error measured in a signal-free region of the image. It is approximately the same as that shown in Table 1, though slightly different regions are used in each case.

3.1.1. Variability

The multi-epoch data demonstrate that at least one of these sources is variable. The most convincing case for variability is in the (double) source d4. In the Mehringer (1994) data, there is no hint of emission at this location, with a $3\text{-}\sigma$ upper limit of 0.6 mJy. At the same position and frequency in 2014, there is a 1.03 ± 0.06 mJy source at the position of d4e.

3.1.2. Multi-wavelength Source Associations

We compare our extracted source catalog with the MOXC Chandra X-ray catalog (Townsend et al. 2014) and the UKIDSS Galactic Plane Survey (UGPS; Lucas et al. 2008) to identify sources that are detected at multiple wavelengths. The associations are listed in Table 8. We discuss some individual source associations in more detail in this section. The absolute astrometric offsets in the Chandra catalogs are $< 0.1''$ (Townsend et al. 2014), and typical absolute astrometric errors in JVL A data³ are $\sim 10\%$ of the beam, or in our case $\lesssim 0.02 - 0.04''$.

³ <https://science.nrao.edu/facilities/vla/docs/manuals/oss/performance/positional-accuracy>




Fig. 2. The C-band (4.9 GHz, tracing ionized gas) image of the W51A region with point sources labeled. The blue sources are previously known; the green are new additions in this paper. The synthesized beam size is $\theta_{FWHM} \approx 0.3''$.

Both lobes of the variable source d4 are $0.3''$ from MOXC 192339.62+143130.3 and d6 is $0.3''$ from MOXC 192341.23+143111.8 ($0.3''$ is about a full-width resolution element in the radio data, so this offset is highly significant). These separations may indicate that either the H II regions or the MOXC point sources are associated with diffuse material, e.g. outflows, near stars rather than the stars themselves. Candidate sources e20 and e22 are $< 0.1''$ from MOXC 192342.86+143027.5 and 192342.77+143027.5, respectively. There are a handful of X-ray sources within W51 IRS2 that could be associated with faint radio sources that we are unable to detect against the bright diffuse background emission, but these X-ray sources could also be associated with outflowing material (e.g., the Lacy jet; see Section 3.5). The lack of correlation between X-ray and HCH II regions indicates that the embedded OB stars within these HCH II regions are at most weak X-ray emitters.

We compared our point source catalog to the UKIDSS K-band and UWISH2 H₂ images to search for infrared associations with our detected radio sources (Lucas et al. 2008; Froebrich et al. 2011). Most of the W51e sources have no association, as is expected given the high extinction in this region ($A_V > 100$, based on mm emission). We discuss the exceptions here. Diffuse source e7 to the south is associated with UGPS J192344.79+142911.2 (Lucas et al. 2008). Three sources, e20, e21, and e22, have NIR K-band counterparts, though the UGPS cataloged positions do not align with the evident NIR K-band sources in the archive im-

ages. We nonetheless consider these sources to have infrared counterparts; likely adaptive optics imaging will be required to obtain reliable flux density measurements for these due to both point source and extended emission confusion in the region. Similarly, e5 exhibits some NIR K-band emission, though because it is diffuse, this emission is not cataloged in the UGPS.

The source d7 is associated with a luminous infrared source, which is seen both in UKIDSS (Lucas et al. 2008) and NACO (Figuerêdo et al. 2008) images. However, the reported position of the near-infrared K-band Goldader & Wynn-Williams (1994) source RS15 is $0.7''$ away, even though in the UKIDSS images there is no detected emission at this position. Surprisingly, the associated MOXC Chandra source (Townesley et al. 2014) is more closely aligned with the offset RS15 position than the true source location in the NACO and UKIDSS images. High proper motion is unlikely to be the explanation for this discrepancy, since the source would have to be moving at $v \sim 10^3$ km s⁻¹ to have shifted $0.7''$ in 30 years. Despite this confusion, we regard the NIR, X-ray, and radio features to have a common origin.

3.2. H₂CO 2_{1,1} – 2_{1,2} emission

We detect H₂CO 2_{1,1}–2_{1,2} emission around W51e2, W51e8, in a region between e1, e8, and e10, and in W51 North. We report the tentative detection of an extended structure be-

Table 4. Continuum Point Sources (excerpt)

Object	Epoch	Obs. Date	Peak S_ν mJy beam ⁻¹	Peak - Background mJy beam ⁻¹	RMS mJy beam ⁻¹	Frequency GHz
d2	2	2012-10-16	5.5	7.7	0.2	2.5
d2	1	1993-03-16	10	10	0.5	22.5
d3-diffuse	1	1992-10-25	0.3	0.3	0.2	4.9
d3-diffuse	2	2012-06-21	-	-	1	33.0
d4e	2	2013-03-02	1	1.3	0.1	12.6
d4w	2	2012-10-16	0.51	0.79	0.05	4.9
d4w	2	2012-06-21	1	4	1	27.0
d5	2	2012-10-16	-0.03	0.11	0.04	5.9
d6	2	2012-10-16	1	1.4	0.2	2.5
d6	1	1993-03-16	0.6	1.4	0.5	22.5
d7	3	2014-04-19	0.24	0.38	0.08	4.9
d7	2	2012-06-21	1	1	1	33.0
e1	2	2013-03-02	23	23	0.1	12.6
e10	2	2012-10-16	1.7	2	0.05	4.9
e10	2	2012-06-21	2	5	1	27.0
e11	2	2012-10-16	0.29	0.38	0.04	5.9
e12	2	2012-10-16	-0	0.9	0.2	2.5
e12	1	1993-03-16	0.2	1.2	0.5	22.5
e13	2	2012-10-16	0.76	0.97	0.05	4.9
e13	2	2012-06-21	1	3	1	33.0
e14	2	2013-03-02	1.6	1.2	0.1	12.6
e15	3	2014-04-19	0.35	0.35	0.08	4.9
e15	2	2012-06-21	5	7	1	27.0
e16	2	2012-10-16	0.05	0.14	0.04	5.9
e17	2	2012-10-16	0.1	0.5	0.2	2.5
e17	1	1993-03-16	-	-	0.5	22.5
e18	3	2014-04-19	0.51	0.37	0.08	4.9
e18	2	2012-06-21	-0	1	1	33.0
e19	2	2013-03-02	1.3	1	0.1	12.6
e2	1	1992-10-25	14	14	0.2	4.9
e2	2	2012-06-21	1.6×10^2	1.7×10^2	1	27.0
e20	3	2014-04-19	0.26	0.38	0.05	5.9
e21	2	2012-10-16	0.5	1.4	0.2	2.5
e21	1	1993-03-16	0.4	1.1	0.5	22.5
e22	2	2012-10-16	0.12	0.33	0.05	4.9
e22	2	2012-06-21	0	2	1	33.0
e23	2	2013-03-02	0.5	0.4	0.1	12.6
e3	1	1992-10-25	8	7.9	0.2	4.9
e3	2	2012-06-21	8	11	1	27.0
e4	3	2014-04-19	4.3	4.6	0.05	5.9
e5	2	2012-10-16	0.1	4.1	0.2	2.5
e5	1	1993-03-16	15	17	0.5	22.5
e6	2	2012-10-16	2.5	2.6	0.05	4.9
e6	2	2012-06-21	1	2	1	33.0
e7	2	2013-03-02	0	0.2	0.1	12.6
e8n	1	1992-10-25	1.5	1.5	0.2	4.9
e8n	2	2012-06-21	4	6	1	27.0
e8s	3	2014-04-19	1.1	1.3	0.05	5.9
e9	2	2012-10-16	2.6	3	0.2	2.5
e9	1	1993-03-16	0.3	2.4	0.5	22.5

An excerpt from the point source catalog. For the full catalog, see Table A.1

tween e2 and e1, though this structure is weak and diffuse. The fitted emission line parameters are listed in Table 5. The emission is shown in Figures 12, 14, and 15 and discussed in Section 4.3.

3.3. Line-of-sight velocities

We have detected H77 α emission from many of the ultra-compact and hypercompact H II regions within W51. We report their line-of-sight velocities as measured from gaussian profile fits to their extracted spectra.

Table 5. H₂CO 2_{1,1} – 2_{1,2} emission line parameters

Object Name	Amplitude mJy	$E(\text{Amplitude})$	V_{LSR} km s ⁻¹	$E(V_{LSR})$	σ_V	$E(\sigma_V)$	r_{eff}	Detection Status
NorthCore	0.652	0.018	58.877	0.074	2.375	0.074	1.8	-
e2-e8 bridge	0.369	0.022	56.554	0.07	1.0	0.07	1.4	-
e2_a	0.641	0.026	57.412	0.1	2.167	0.1	0.9	-
e2_b	1.085	0.035	56.083	0.097	2.605	0.097	0.9	-
e2_c	0.612	0.026	56.01	0.19	3.95	0.19	0.9	-
e8mol	1.551	0.061	60.55	0.13	2.85	0.13	0.5	-
e8mol_ext	1.045	0.024	60.16	0.089	3.439	0.089	1.0	weak
e10mol	0.999	0.039	58.01	0.21	4.69	0.21	0.5	-
e10mol_ext	0.813	0.018	58.507	0.094	3.593	0.094	1.1	weak

Columns with E denote the errors on the measured parameters. σ_V is the 1-dimensional gaussian velocity dispersion. r_{eff} is the effective aperture radius.

The H77 α emission line parameters are listed in Table 7, and the p-H₂CO 2_{1,1} – 2_{1,2} absorption line parameters are in Table 6.

The H₂CO absorption lines provide velocities of foreground molecular gas. The e5 and e6 sources are close to one another (separation 3.1'', or projected distance 0.08 pc), and both exhibit H₂CO absorption at 62-63 km s⁻¹. Source e6 is detected in H77 α at 68 km s⁻¹, suggesting that the true velocity of both e5 and e6 are redshifted from the H₂CO absorption lines.

3.4. Diffuse continuum emission features

There are a few new notable continuum emission features detected in our data that were not detected in previous shallower data.

Near source e11, there is a bow-shaped feature (Figure 3). There are no known associated sources at other wavelengths, though in the Spitzer GLIMPSE (Benjamin et al. 2003) images, there is some diffuse emission at this location. The bow-like structure points away from e11, suggesting that e11 produces the bow-shaped nebula.

The source d3 is an H II region with radius $r \sim 1.9''$. It is associated with a Spitzer source and the 2MASS source 2MASX J19233591+1431288. The sources d3, e1, e6, and e7 are compact but resolved (0.02-0.07 pc) and round; they are therefore classified as ultracompact H II regions.

The diffuse emission associated with the W51 Main shell and W51 IRS1 traces a broad arc ($r \sim 42''$) that has been observed in many previous data sets. The new deeper, higher-resolution data represent a substantial improvement in image quality. While in previous observations a relatively smooth and clumpy structure was observed, the new images reveal a network of wispy, sharp-edged, filamentary structures. Figure 4 shows W51 IRS1, the region between the W51e and W51 IRS2 clusters. While this area contains few clear individual sources, it accounts for the majority of the radio luminosity of the W51 Main region and somewhere between one quarter and one half the bolometric luminosity of the whole W51A complex.

The filamentary structures in W51 IRS1 are unresolved along the short axis, with aspect ratios > 25 . These resemble the “stringlike ionized features” noted by Yusef-Zadeh (1990, see <http://images.nrao.edu/402>) in Orion, which are associated primarily with the Orion Bar PDR and the nearby fainter PDR to the northeast. The similarity suggests that these features are PDRs, highlighting the sharp

interaction points between the H II region and the surrounding molecular cloud.

The two most prominent of these sharp features are on opposite sides of the W51 Peak (Figure 4, blue arrows). The long vertical filament on the left (Filament A) approximately faces W51e, while the S-shaped filament on the right (Filament B) faces outward, away from the e1/e2 group and toward a region that contains no known OB stars. The presence of multiple PDR features raises questions about the ionizing source(s). In Orion, the Trapezium is 0.2 pc in projection from the Bar PDR. Filament A is 0.6 pc from the W51e cluster, which contains enough O-stars to illuminate the filaments, but there may not be a clear line-of-sight from those stars, which appear to be deeply embedded in molecular material, to the filament. These features therefore indicate the presence of additional OB stars that are obscured along our line-of-sight but that are not deeply embedded.

Additionally, the W51 IRS1 ridge is detected in H77 α . Filament B peaks in the range 40-50 km s⁻¹. Filament A is the sharp edge feature spanning $v_{LSR} \sim 38 - 48$ km s⁻¹. From Filament A, there is continuous H77 α emission toward the northeast from 40 to 80 km s⁻¹ that exhibits a gradient from blue to red from southeast to northwest.

The H77 α lines reveal two circular features (bubbles) that overlap along the line of sight but are distinct in velocity (Figure 5). A smaller bubble at 40 km s⁻¹ shows a velocity gradient orthogonal to the gradient seen next to filament A, increasing in velocity from southwest to northeast; this gradient may trace the edges of an expanding spherical shell. The large bubble is centered at about 65 km s⁻¹ and shows no clear gradients (Figure 5, for labels see Figure A.2).

The W51 IRS1 H II region appears connected to the W51 IRS2 bubble in the continuum (Figure 4 shows the apparently-connected region, Figure 6 shows the IRS2 bubble), but the RRL emission peaks at around 75 km s⁻¹ (Figure 5). Along this region, there are weak ‘striations’ at PA 126 degrees, parallel to the cyan arrow in Figure 4 and orthogonal to the direction toward IRS2. These striations may trace the outer edge of the expanding IRS2 region. There is also an arc to the southwest of this region that appears to be a bow shock from gas outflowing along the same axis; the cyan arrow points to this arc in Figure 4.

The IRS2 region peaks in velocity around 62 km s⁻¹ at the center, but exhibits a gradient from its center to its surroundings, with a more extended component peak-

Table 6. H₂CO 2_{1,1} – 2_{1,2} absorption line parameters

Object Name	Amplitude mJy	$E(\text{Amplitude})$	V_{LSR} km s ⁻¹	$E(V_{LSR})$	σ_V	$E(\sigma_V)$	r_{eff}	Detection Status
e1	-2.922	0.034	62.531	0.078	5.81	0.078	0.6	ambig
e2	-21.186	0.082	56.8728	0.009	2.0231	0.009	0.6	-
e3	-2.57	0.1	64.26	0.11	2.35	0.11	0.4	-
e5	-1.944	0.094	62.739	0.032	0.576	0.032	0.6	-
e6	-0.598	0.015	63.771	0.061	2.149	0.061	1.8	-
e9	-0.477	0.078	55.4	0.22	1.15	0.22	0.5	-
e10	-0.63	0.1	66.74	0.21	1.1	0.21	0.5	-

Columns with E denote the errors on the measured parameters. σ_V is the 1-dimensional gaussian velocity dispersion. r_{eff} is the effective aperture radius.

Table 7. H77 α emission line parameters

Object Name	Amplitude mJy	$E(\text{Amplitude})$ mJy	V_{LSR} km s ⁻¹	$E(V_{LSR})$ km s ⁻¹	σ_V km s ⁻¹	$E(\sigma_V)$ km s ⁻¹	Detection Status
e1	3.0	0.2	54.87	0.85	10.9	0.85	-
e2	0.6	0.13	56.0	3.8	15.3	3.8	-
e3	1.48	0.33	59.8	2.7	10.5	2.7	-
e4	0.56	0.39	57.2	4.4	5.4	4.4	-
e5	0.25	0.18	52.6	4.7	5.8	4.7	weak
e6	0.183	0.051	68.6	4.9	15.4	4.9	-
e9	0.15	0.18	66.8	7.9	5.5	7.9	weak
e10	0.27	0.2	51.2	9.8	11.6	9.8	weak




Fig. 3. A bow-shaped feature toward the northeast of the source e11 in the Ku-band (14.5 GHz) continuum image. The feature resembles the bow shocks of Herbig-Haro objects, but is more likely to be an H II region given its smooth structure. The synthesized beam size is $\theta_{FWHM} \approx 0.33''$.

ing around 47 km s⁻¹. There is a shell around IRS2 with maximum projected radius 21'' (0.5 pc; Figures 6 and 7). A prominent sharp edge feature (blue arrow in Figure 6)

extends to the northeast from the central cluster; it may trace the outflow of hot material from the cluster.

Parts of the shell close to IRS2 are detected in radio recombination lines (H77 α), but the more distant regions




Fig. 4. (a) A C-band (4.9 GHz) image of W51 IRS1, the location of peak intensity on the W51 Main shell. This region accounts for more than half of the radio luminosity of W51. The colorbar has been selected to emphasize the pointlike sources even though it saturates some of the diffuse emission. The synthesized beam size is $\theta_{FWHM} \approx 0.3''$. (b) An unsharp-masked version of the image with a $0.3''$ smoothing kernel used for the large-angular-scale flux removal. There are two pointlike sources in field marked by red arrows, e14 at center-left and the cometary e13 toward the lower left. The filamentary features mentioned in Section 3.4 are identified by blue arrows. The cyan arrow points along a possible ionized flow, traced by elongated features parallel to the arrow and a rounded feature to the southwest. A counterpart to the northeast is barely visible.

marked in Figure 6 with red arrows are not (they are too faint). The shell to the northwest peaks at $v_{lsr} = 37.5$ km s⁻¹, and a gradient is observed from that shell to the peak velocity of IRS2 at $v_{lsr} = 62.6$ km s⁻¹. The velocity structure is inconsistent with spherical outflow but is consistent with a conical flow structure. This flow structure likely indicates that the cluster has evacuated a large cavity approximately toward the observer, consistent with the low observed extinction toward IRS2.

3.5. The Lacy IRS2 ionized outflow

Lacy et al. (2007) reported the detection of very high velocity ionized gas in the mid-infrared [Ne II] $12.8\mu\text{m}$ and S IV $10.5\mu\text{m}$ lines. They observed the gas at a velocity blueshifted about 100 km s⁻¹ from the IRS2 ionized and molecular gas velocity. We have detected the same feature in the H77 α RRL. The RRL shows the same position-velocity structure as the infrared ionized features. No redshifted counterflow is detected (Figure 8).

One minor but notable feature of the H77 α flow is that the emission occurs close to the rest velocity of the He77 α line. If Lacy et al. (2007) had not already unambiguously identified the high velocity of this feature in two infrared lines, we would have assumed the detected emission to be slightly redshifted Helium, since He77 α is detected at $v \sim 60$ km s⁻¹ in IRS2, rather than correctly identifying it as H77 α .

4. Analysis

We use the data to examine the following properties of W51:

- Section 4.1: Determination of the total stellar mass in W51 based on its infrared luminosity and a brief examination of the probable locations of the massive stars.

- Section 4.2: Determination of the object type (e.g., stellar wind, photoionized HII region) associated with each detected continuum source.
- Section 4.2.1: A brief discussion of the compact sources near W51 Main and their role in ionizing the W51 Main bubbles.
- Section 4.2.2: A brief discussion of the IRS2 faint point sources.
- Section 4.3: Examination of the o-H₂CO $2_{1,1} - 2_{1,2}$ -detected hot cores, including upper limits on 4.829 GHz o-H₂CO $1_{1,0} - 1_{1,1}$ emission.
- Section 4.4: Discussion of the d4 variable emission feature, concluding that it arises from a fast outflow interacting with the dense local ISM.
- Section 4.5: Measurements of the velocity dispersion within the e1 and e2 clusters based on their RRL and H₂CO emission velocities, and a derivation of the gas-to-stellar mass ratio on this basis.
- Section 4.6: Constraints on the mass loss rate of the relatively low-extinction IRS2 region using RRL emission to constrain the mass and velocity of escaping material.

4.1. The FIR-deduced stellar mass

We have re-measured the infrared luminosity of the W51 protoclusters using Herschel Hi-Gal data (Molinari et al. 2010; Traficante et al. 2011; Molinari et al. 2016), fitting an SED from the 70 to 500 μm with a single blackbody component. While a single-component fit provides a poor measurement of the dust temperature - multiple temperature components are evident (Sievers et al. 1991) - it provides a good approximation to the total infrared luminosity, which is dominated by a single warm (~ 60 K) component. The infrared luminosity is about $L \sim 2 \times 10^7 L_{\odot}$ within a 2




Fig. 5. Channel maps of the H77 α radio recombination line integrated over 5 km s⁻¹ windows for W51 Main and IRS1. There are small ring features notable at low and high velocities; these are identified in Figure A.2. These images demonstrate that there are two distinct but possibly interacting bubbles along the line of sight in W51 Main. The synthesized beam size is $\theta_{FWHM} \approx 0.42''$.

pc radius⁴, which includes both the W51 IRS2 and W51 Main protoclusters. The luminosity estimate does not include the mid-infrared luminosity, which may provide an additional $\sim 25 - 50\%$ based on the IRAS 12 and 25 μm measurements. Our measured total luminosity is somewhat larger than the previous estimates based on IRAS and KAO measurements (Harvey et al. 1986; Sievers et al. 1991). A luminosity $L = 2.0 \pm 0.5 \times 10^7 L_{\odot}$ implies a stellar mass $M_{cl} = 6.7 \pm 2.3 \times 10^3 M_{\odot}$, with a corresponding number of O-stars (greater than 20 M_{\odot}) $N_O = 19 \pm 6$, assuming a Kroupa (2001) IMF at the zero-age main sequence using Vacca et al. (1996) stellar parameters. This estimate provides a lower limit on the present day O-star population,

⁴ In many bands, W51 Main and W51 IRS2 are saturated, so we have interpolated from neighboring pixels to estimate the flux density. Our luminosity estimate is therefore a lower limit.

since some of the short-wavelength radiation (i.e., the NIR radiation) is able to escape rather than being reprocessed into the far infrared, which we have used to infer the luminosity.

Of these expected ~ 20 O-stars, six have been detected in the near infrared and spectroscopically confirmed. Figuerêdo et al. (2008) found 4 exposed O-type stars and Barbosa et al. (2008) found an additional two with strong infrared excess. None of these coincide with ultracompact or hypercompact H II regions, but all are in the bright and diffuse IRS2 H II region.

Mehring (1994) found an additional eight ultracompact and hypercompact H II regions, all of which appear to be B0 or earlier stars based on their radio-derived ionizing photon luminosity. The other ~ 10 -20 O-stars expected to have *already* formed given the observed total luminosity may be the hypercompact H II regions we have detected.




Fig. 6. (a) The W51 IRS2 region in C-band continuum and (b) unsharp masked with a $0.3''$ kernel. Concentric ring features surround the IRS2 region. A V-shaped feature to the north (cyan arrow) may highlight the edge of gas flows out of the cluster, extending to the long linear feature pointing northwest (blue arrow). An apparent shell is observed centered on the IRS2 cluster, with edge features to the south and east (red arrows). The compact sources d6 and d7, which are candidate colliding-wind binaries (Section 4.2), are identified with green arrows.

However, it is difficult to explain the total luminosity of the region from hypercompact H II regions alone, since their emission is necessarily confined by optical depth to a small region, and they contribute minimally to the overall radio luminosity. The thermal radiation from the OB-stars in the diffuse H II regions may illuminate a large portion of the cloud and contribute substantially to the infrared luminosity.

It is possible that the O-stars providing most of the observed reprocessed luminosity are near or within the “shell” structure of the W51 IRS1 region (Figure A.2, Section 3.4). This region dominates the optical and radio luminosity of W51 Main (though it provides $\lesssim 1/4$ of the FIR luminosity) and is bright enough in near-infrared and radio continuum emission to make detection of point sources impossible, explaining why no O-stars have been previously confirmed. There are multiple overlapping H II bubbles in the W51 Main shell at different velocities (Figure 5), suggesting that there are interacting and expanding bubbles, which in turn implies that there is a separation between the driving sources and the H II region of at least the bubble sizes, $\sim 0.2 - 0.3$ pc. This scenario suggests the existence of a population of un-embedded O-stars spread between the W51 protoclusters.

4.2. The nature of the continuum sources

Out of the 27 compact continuum sources reported, the majority that we could identify are ultra- or hyper-compact H II regions. The ultracompact H II regions are identified as resolved sources, with $r > 0.005$ pc⁵. The HCH II regions are more challenging to identify, as they are observed only as unresolved sources. Normally, these could be identified from their SEDs, which should follow an $\alpha = 2$ Rayleigh-

Jeans law up to some turnover point, above which they become optically thin and turn over to an $\alpha = -0.1$ power law (Wilson et al. 2009). However, the SEDs we observed do not exhibit such unambiguous behavior for any cases except e2. For the rest, we see $\alpha \approx 1$ power laws at low frequencies (e.g., e4), negative power-laws at low frequencies (e.g., e9), or entirely flat SEDs (e.g., e10). SED classifications for other sources are given in Table 3, and plots of the SEDs can be seen in Appendix B.

An SED with $\alpha \sim 1$ or $\alpha \sim 0$ can be explained by density gradients in the H II region (Keto et al. 2008; Galván-Madrid et al. 2009; Tanaka et al. 2016). However, a minimum at ~ 6 GHz cannot. Additionally, an optically thick H II region that is just unresolved would have $S_{5\text{GHz}} \sim 70$ mJy, so for the sources discussed in this section with $S_{5\text{GHz}} < 1$ mJy, the upper limit on the source radius of an optically thick H II region with electron temperature $T_e = 10^4$ K is $\lesssim 160$ au. If any of these sources are H II regions, then, they are among the most compact of HCH II regions in the galaxy, and by extension must be extremely young or accretion-dominated (Keto 2003; Peters et al. 2010b). It is unlikely to find a large population of very young O-stars at exactly coincident evolutionary stages distributed across a parsec-scale star-forming region, especially when the clustered groups of compact H II regions exhibit a significant size distribution from $r < 0.005$ pc to $r \sim 0.05$ pc. Additionally, most of the detected sources are not correlated with regions of high molecular gas density: they do not have a significant reservoir from which to accrete. We therefore discard the hypothesis that the faint sources are all extreme HCH II regions.

Negative spectral indices at low frequencies are usually assumed to indicate synchrotron emission (Wilson et al. 2009; Condon & Ransom 2007), but young and forming stars and H II regions are generally not strong synchrotron emitters. However, colliding-wind binaries (CWBs) often exhibit nonthermal SEDs, likely caused by accelerated particles in the wind-wind collision zone (De Becker & Rauq 2013). Stellar winds around massive stars may also exhibit $\alpha < 2$ power-laws, but they are not expected to deviate far

⁵ The Kurtz (2002) definition of HCH II regions is $r_{\text{H II}} < 0.05$ pc. We detect a few sources that are on the borderline between HCH II and UCH II regions, with $r \sim 0.05$ pc, and therefore we have identified all unresolved sources at the highest resolution (0.005 pc) as HCH II regions, and all that are well-resolved are classified as UCH II regions.




Fig. 7. Channel maps of the H77 α line integrated over 5 km s $^{-1}$ windows for the IRS2 region. Around 30–35 km s $^{-1}$, the edges of the IRS2 region become visible, illustrating the blueshifted cavity discussed in Section 3.4. These images demonstrate the presence of outflowing material and are used in Section 3.4 to estimate the ionized gas mass loss rate from IRS2. The synthesized beam size is $\theta_{FWHM} \approx 0.42''$.

from $\alpha \sim 0.6 - 0.7$ (Wright & Barlow 1975; Panagia & Felli 1975; Reynolds 1986).

Radio jets are sometimes observed to have negative spectral indices (Moscadelli et al. 2013, 2016), and they are often faint at distances comparable to W51, making them plausible candidates for the observed faint emission. However, previous radio jets have been identified by their association with CH₃OH or H₂O masers, and the faint continuum sources we discuss here do not have any associated masers. Absent any signs of ongoing accretion, jets are not the most likely source of the observed emission, but the current data cannot definitively rule them out.

CWBs typically have radio luminosities $L_{rad} \sim 10^{29} - 10^{30}$ erg s $^{-1}$ (De Becker & Rauq 2013). At the distance of W51, this corresponds to 0.5–5 mJy at 5 GHz, so such binaries should be detected. By contrast, single star winds

range from $L_{rad} \sim 10^{27} - 10^{29}$ erg s $^{-1}$, with only a few known in the high range (Bieging et al. 1989), so these are less likely to be detected.

Both CWBs and radio-bright stellar wind sources should be bright in the optical and near-infrared, with stellar luminosities $10^5 - 10^6 L_{\odot}$. Much of the W51 protocluster region is obscured in the infrared by extinction from the Galactic plane and the W51 GMC, but in the near-IR an unextincted O9 star would have $m_K \sim 10.5$ (Pecaut & Mamajek 2013)⁶, or $m_K \sim 13.0$ with Galactic disk line-of-sight extinction $A_K = 2.6$ (Goldader & Wynn-Williams 1994). Some of these stars therefore ought to be detected in the NIR; we report such detections in Table 8.

⁶ http://www.pas.rochester.edu/~emamajek/EEM_dwarf_UBVIJHK_colors_Teff.txt




Fig. 8. Position-velocity slices through (a) the $H77\alpha$ cube and (b) the $[\text{Ne II}]$ cube from Lacy et al. (2007) tracking the approximate path of the Lacy jet. Emission is black, absorption (which in both images is due to reduction artifacts rather than true physical absorption) is white. The vertical streaks are continuum sources. The abscissa shows the offset position along the slice from J2000 19:23:40.464 +14:31:07.9536 to 19:23:39.4176 +14:31:04.7172, position angle 258° . The blueshifted lobe is evident at -50 km s^{-1} in both cubes, and neither shows a redshifted counterpart. The $H77\alpha$ data reveal that there is truly no counterpart, ruling out the possibility that it was simply too extincted to be observed in the infrared.




Fig. 9. The ‘Lacy Jet’ as seen in $H77\alpha$ contours overlaid on (a) the 15 GHz continuum image (synthesized beam $\theta_{FWHM} \approx 0.33''$) and (b) the NACO K-band image (resolution $\approx 0.2''$ Barbosa et al. 2008). The $H77\alpha$ contours are at 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, and 0.7 Jy km s^{-1} , integrated over the velocity range -60 to -16 km s^{-1} .

Based on these arguments, we have classified each detected compact source in Table 3. We report 12 candidate CWBs throughout the observed region. These sources constitute a large fraction of the un-embedded O-star population discussed in Section 4.1, and their presence confirms that un-embedded, main-sequence O-stars reside in the same cloud as the still-forming clusters.

4.2.1. The faint radio continuum sources in W51 Main

The sources e20, e21, and e22 are likely members of a distributed O-star population, rather than members of the clusters of compact H II regions (Figure 10). They were de-

tected by Goldader & Wynn-Williams (1994) in the K-band at $m_K < 12$, making these the brightest NIR sources outside of W51 IRS2 (Table 8 gives their associated NIR source names from large surveys). Their luminosities suggest they are O-type stars. Since they were also detected in X-rays (Townsend et al. 2014), these are strong candidate CWBs. The high infrared K-band brightness and weak radio continuum from these sources, in contrast with the bright radio continuum and absence of infrared emission from e1/e2, supports this hypothesis. Assuming they are early O-stars, e20, e21, and e22 are capable of providing the ionizing and infrared luminosity observed from the W51 IRS1 H II region.




Fig. 10. (a) A C-band image of the W51e20/e21/e22 cluster. (b) An unsharp-masked version of the image with a $0.3''$ smoothing kernel. Sources e20, e21, and e22 correspond to two detected infrared K-band sources from Goldader & Wynn-Williams (1994, Table 8) and have K-band luminosities consistent with spectral type O4V or earlier. The other point sources seen in this field are not detected in the near infrared.

Some questions about the continuum sources remain unanswered: How close are the e1/e2 and e20/e21/e22 clusters? They are separated by 0.36 pc in projection, but there is no direct information about the line-of-sight velocity of the e20 cluster. The other sources in this general area that do not have infrared counterparts, e12, e13, and e23, are also likely OB stars behind infrared dark clouds that obscure them in the near infrared. It is possible that all of the W51 IRS1 and W51 Main compact sources are within a common ~ 0.5 pc sphere.

4.2.2. The faint radio continuum sources in W51 IRS2

Of the compact radio sources identified near W51 IRS2, all but d2 have infrared associations (Table 8). Four of them also have X-ray associations. Except for d3, which is associated with a moderately extended H II region, these are candidate CWBs.

An alternate explanation for the SED, location, and variability of d4 is discussed in Section 4.4.

4.3. H_2CO emission features

H_2CO is generally a good tracer of molecular gas, showing up at typical abundances $\sim 10^{-9}$ H_2 wherever CO is detected (e.g., Mangum & Wootten 1993). The centimeter lines of o- H_2CO are often used to measure gas density when detected in absorption (e.g., Ginsburg et al. 2011; Zeiger & Darling 2010). o- H_2CO $1_{1,0} - 1_{1,1}$ and $2_{1,1} - 2_{1,2}$ are commonly observed in absorption but rarely in emission (e.g., Mangum & Wootten 1993; Araya et al. 2007). The $2_{1,1} - 2_{1,2}$ line has been observed in emission in the starburst M82 (Mangum et al. 2008), behind the Orion nebula (Evans et al. 1975; Kutner et al. 1976; Batrla et al. 1983; Johnston et al. 1983; Bastien et al. 1985; Wilson & Johnston 1989), in ρ Ophiucus B (Loren et al. 1980, 1983; Martin-Pintado et al. 1983; Wadiak et al. 1985), and in DR 21 (Wilson et al. 1982; Johnston et al. 1984). However, the $2_{1,1} - 2_{1,2}$ line has so far only been observed in absorption at large distances ($d > 2$ kpc) within the Galaxy.

We have detected three regions of $2_{1,1} - 2_{1,2}$ emission in the W51 region, all corresponding to previously detected hot molecular cores (Zhang & Ho 1997; Shi et al. 2010b,a; Goddi et al. 2015, 2016). W51e2 is partially surrounded by a ‘halo’ of H_2CO $2_{1,1} - 2_{1,2}$ emission to its northeast; the HCH II region itself shows only $2_{1,1} - 2_{1,2}$ absorption because the continuum source is bright (Figure 13 and 15). The H_2CO emission corresponds to the cores W51e2e and W51e2nw and the diffuse emission between them (Goddi et al. 2016; Shi et al. 2010b). The HCH II region W51e8 exhibits extended $2_{1,1} - 2_{1,2}$ emission, including a somewhat diffuse region between W51e4 and W51e1. Finally, in W51 IRS2, there is extended $2_{1,1} - 2_{1,2}$ emission between W51d1 and W51d2, adjacent to the NH_3 masers observed by Zhang & Ho (1995) and more recently Goddi et al. (2015), and aligned with the Zapata et al. (2010) W51 North Disk and thermal NH_3 emission (Figure 12).

In all cases, the emission is extended and spread smoothly over multiple velocity channels (Figures 13, 16, 17). It is therefore not maser emission.

None of these regions are detected in $1_{1,0} - 1_{1,1}$ emission in our data. These nondetections are likely because our brightness sensitivity at C-band (4.9 GHz) is poor. The upper limit is $T_B < 300$ K (Table 2), ruling out the presence of strong masers. Additionally, the foreground cloud is a much stronger absorber in the $1_{1,0} - 1_{1,1}$ than in the $2_{1,1} - 2_{1,2}$ line, so any emission is likely to be obscured by foreground absorption.

The W51e2, W51e8, and North cores share some properties but are not identical. All three exhibit peak H_2CO $2_{1,1} - 2_{1,2}$ brightness temperatures $T \sim 30 - 60$ K at 14.5 GHz. W51e8 has a brightness temperature and spatial extent similar to that of W51 North, though it is also connected with the e10 molecular emission and is morphologically more complex. W51e8 contains a hypercompact H II region, while W51 North does not. However, in W51 North, detection of a hypercompact H II region may be prevented by confusion with the nearby bright IRS2: it is therefore plausible that W51 North contains a similar continuum source to W51e8 ($S_\nu \sim 1$ mJy). Unlike W51e8 and North, W51e2 has a more extended morphology including the cores




Fig. 11. Contours of the C-band (4.9 GHz) continuum overlaid on an integrated intensity map of C¹⁸O 3-2 from 45 to 65 km s⁻¹ (Parsons et al. 2012). The contours go from 0.1 to 10 mJy in 5 logarithmic steps. The C¹⁸O peaks on the e1/e2 region and has a clear minimum corresponding to the peak of the radio continuum emission.

Table 8. Source Associations

Source Name	X-ray	NIR	Goldader 1994
d3	-	UGPSJ192335.88+143128.8	-
d4e	CXOJ192339.6+143130	UGPSJ192339.65+143130.9	-
d4w	(same as d4e)	(same as d4e)	-
d5	-	UGPSJ192341.77+143127.6	-
d6	CXOJ192341.1+143110	UGPSJ192341.29+143111.8	-
d7	CXOU192340.96+143106.7	UGPSJ192340.91+143106.7	RS15
e7	-	UGPSJ192344.79+142911.2	-
e14	-	UGPSJ192342.60+143042.2	-
e15	-	UGPSJ192338.65+143005.8	-
e20	CXOU192342.86+143027.5	UGPSJ192342.85+143027.7	RS7
e21	(same as e20)	(same as e20)	(same as e20)
e22	CXOU192342.77+143027.5	UGPSJ192342.84+143027.5	RS8

W51e2e and W51e2nw, and it includes the bright HCH II region e2w (Shi et al. 2010b; Goddi et al. 2016).

We estimate the mass of the W51 North core in a few ways. A reasonable lower limit on the mass is given by assuming it has a volume density $n \gtrsim 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, which is required to detect it in emission in o-H₂CO 2_{1,1} – 2_{1,2} (Mangum & Wootten 1993). The core radius, as measured

by fitting a 2D gaussian to its integrated intensity emission map, is $\sigma = 0.9''$ or 0.025 pc (FWHM=2.1'', 0.056 pc). If we assume the core is spherically symmetric, the resulting mass is $M \gtrsim 14M_{\odot}$. We compute the o-H₂CO 2_{1,1} – 2_{1,2} column density using Mangum & Shirley (2015) equation 100, with a measured integrated intensity of 55 mJy km s⁻¹ or 65 K km s⁻¹ given the $2 \times 1.5''$ source area. The inferred




Fig. 12. The W51 North core shown in H₂CO 2_{1,1} – 2_{1,2} emission in contours overlaid on (a) the 14 GHz continuum and (b) the NACO K-band continuum image (Barbosa et al. 2008). The contours are at 3 mJy/beam in each channel with width 0.5 km s^{−1} at velocities 56 (blue) to 60 (red) km s^{−1}.




Fig. 13. Spectrum of the W51 North core in o-H₂CO 2_{1,1} – 2_{1,2}. The spectrum is the average over a 2'' × 1.5'' elliptical aperture extracted from the Briggs-weighted cube (about 14× the beam area). The grey shading shows the 1σ errorbars.

column of o-H₂CO, assuming LTE, is $\sim 5 \times 10^{15} - 5 \times 10^{16}$ cm^{−2}, depending on the assumed kinetic temperature and excitation temperature (20–200 K). This H₂CO column implies an implausibly large mass ($M \sim 5 \times 10^3 M_{\odot}$) for typical assumed abundances ($X_{\text{H}_2\text{CO}} \sim 10^{-9}$), so we conclude that the H₂CO abundance must be 2–3 orders of magnitude greater than in the molecular cloud, with $X_{\text{H}_2\text{CO}} \sim 3 \times 10^{-7}$ providing a mass consistent with the density lower-limit based mass. For a reasonable range of ‘core’ masses, $20M_{\odot} < M < 110M_{\odot}$, the implied abundance is $3 \times 10^{-7} > X_{\text{H}_2\text{CO}} > 5 \times 10^{-8}$. The source e8 similarly requires high abundance to explain its emission. While these inferred abundances are high, they are consistent with measurements of H₂CO abundance in the Orion Hot Core and Compact Ridge (Mangum et al. 1993). The enhanced abundance is likely caused by radiation-driven desorption of H₂CO from dust grains (Shalabiea & Greenberg 1994; van Dishoeck & Blake 1998).

4.4. The d4 variable source & H₂ region

The source d4e exhibits ∼20-year timescale variability. d4e has brightened from < 0.6 mJy (3σ upper limit) to $> 1.0 \pm 0.06$ mJy. We consider a few possibilities for the origin of this variable emission: a protostellar jet, a stellar wind, ionized accretion onto a star, or a knot in a high-velocity outflow. While we cannot definitively rule out any of these scenarios, we favor the outflow-ISM interaction because it explains the coincidence of d4e and d4w with extended NIR H₂ and [Fe II] and X-ray continuum emission.

Protostellar jets exhibit radio emission that can be variable. Radio variability has been observed in nearby star forming regions (Liu et al. 2014; Forbrich & Wolk 2013) and associated with variability in accretion or jet related processes, but all sources seen in these regions are orders of magnitude fainter than d4e, so a jet from a low-mass star is unlikely to be responsible for the emission. Radio jets from high-mass stars, e.g., the W75N jet (Carrasco-González et al. 2015), are also too faint: the expanding jet




Fig. 14. Peak intensity contours (red) of the naturally weighted $\text{H}_2\text{CO } 2_{1,1} - 2_{1,2}$ emission ($\theta_{FWHM} \approx 1''$) from 55 to 62 km s^{-1} in the e1/e2 region superposed on the Ku-band continuum image ($\theta_{FWHM} \approx 0.33''$). The contours are at 2, 4, 6 mJy/beam. The green circles show the apertures used for the spectra shown in Figures 16 and 17. The larger apertures around e8mol and e10mol are e8mol_ext and e10mol_ext. The feature denoted ‘e2-e8 bridge’ is a diffuse feature that is seen in the naturally-weighted but not in the robust-weighted images. e2a and e2b in this figure are both associated with the SMA and VLA source e2e (Shi et al. 2010b; Goddi et al. 2016). e2c is associated with the source e2nw.




Fig. 15. Contours of the $\text{H}_2\text{CO } 2_{1,1} - 2_{1,2}$ naturally weighted emission in the W51 Main region at 3 velocities superposed on the Ku-band 14.5 GHz continuum map. (a) shows the peak velocity of the e2 core, where the center of the core is missed because it is in absorption against the bright continuum peak, (b) shows the overlap velocity, and (c) shows the e8 core

in W75N would have a flux density $S_{5\text{GHz}} < 0.1$ mJy at the distance of W51. Nonetheless, jets are highly variable and may interact with a surrounding medium of dramatically different density in different regions, resulting in wildly dif-

ferent luminosities. We therefore cannot rule out the possibility that the emission comes from the formation of a jet, and it is even plausible that the d4 pair represents two opposing jets forming the base of a larger outflow structure.




Fig. 16. Spectra of the $2_{1,1} - 2_{1,2}$ emission around W51e2 at three positions (a) north/northwest (b) northeast (c) southeast of the e2 HCH II region. See Figure 14 to see where these were extracted. The left axis shows the average brightness over the aperture in mJy/beam, while the right axis shows the brightness temperature.




Fig. 17. Spectra of the $2_{1,1} - 2_{1,2}$ emission around W51e1. See Figure 14 to see where these were extracted. The left axis shows the average brightness over the aperture in mJy/beam, while the right axis shows the brightness temperature.

In keeping with the SED interpretation from Section 4.2, it is possible that the d4 sources are massive stars with strong stellar winds. In this interpretation, the overlap with the NIR H_2 and [Fe II] outflow emission (Figure 18 Hodapp & Davis 2002) may either be pure coincidence, or the winds of the massive stars may be interacting directly with the outflow from IRS2. While it is highly unlikely that an outflow would impact these rare windy stars, the interaction would provide a natural explanation for the large line widths observed in the near infrared and for the presence of radio emission. However, the weakness of the NIR K-band detection ($m_K \sim 15$, and the emission is extended) suggests no massive stars are present.

The radio emission could come from ionized jets or magnetospheric emission associated with accreting young stars. However, the brightest T Tauri stars in the Orion Nebula Cluster (ONC) have $L_{rad} < 10^{28}$ erg s $^{-1}$, or a flux density $S_{5GHz} < 0.1$ mJy (Forbrich & Wolk 2013; Zapata et al. 2004), so it is unlikely that these are accreting low- or intermediate- mass stars.

These sources could be very compact HCH II regions, in which case their variability may be caused by variable accretion flow (Peters et al. 2010a; Galván-Madrid et al. 2011; De Pree et al. 2014). In this scenario, the source d4e was fainter 20 years ago because the accretion rate was higher, which meant that the HCH II region was smaller.

The interaction between protostellar outflows and the ISM can result in radio and X-ray emission at great distances from the driving source (e.g., HH80/81 López-Santiago et al. 2013; Masqué et al. 2015). There is a region of H_2 emission, MHO 2419, coincident with d4 (Figure 18 Hodapp & Davis 2002; Froebrich et al. 2011). The H_2 and [Fe II] emission come from bow shocks based on morphological and velocity structure in the Hodapp & Davis (2002) spectra, and these bow shocks point back to an origin in IRS2. The high velocity [Fe II] features (± 150 km s $^{-1}$) are a strong indication that this is a bow shock from a high-velocity outflow. It is therefore possible that the d4 sources are emission features within the bow shock. The variability arises because the shocks are short-lived transient phenomena. The flux density of d4e and d4w is consistent with that observed in HH 80/81 (Masqué et al. 2015) to within an order of magnitude, though d4 is more luminous. Given all of the observed coincident features, d4 is best explained as an outflow knot.

4.5. The velocity dispersion in the W51e cluster

We detect $H77\alpha$ toward five of the eight hyper/ultra compact H II regions in the W51e1/e2 cluster; four of these five are firm detections, one is weak. We also determine a velocity from the H_2CO emission from e8mol and more un-




Fig. 18. A UWISH2 H₂ image with Ku-band contours of sources d4e and d4w overlaid at 0.15, 0.30, 0.45, 0.60, 0.75, and 0.90 mJy/beam. The box shows the slit position used in Hodapp & Davis (2002), in which [Fe II] emission spanning $> 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ was detected. The X's mark positions of MOXC X-ray sources (Townsend et al. 2014). Both radio sources are considered associated with the closer MOXC source in Table 8. This figure is discussed in Section 4.4.

certainly from e10mol. Although the molecular and ionized emission trace different processes, we treat the line-of-sight velocities of both tracers as though they are representative of the stellar velocity for this analysis. With such compact H II regions, the ionized gas is unlikely to be significantly shifted from the stellar velocity. The core emission is likely to be dominated by the densest component nearest the central accreting source.

The resulting 1D velocity dispersion is $\sigma = 2.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ if we exclude the uncertain sources e9 and e10mol; with e9 and e10mol included the dispersion increases to 3.6 km s^{-1} . This velocity dispersion is confined to $r < 5.4''$ or $r < 0.13 \text{ pc}$, though the (proto)stars are not symmetrically distributed. The more compact and round e1 subcluster, which contains the symmetrically-distributed sources e1, e3, e4, e9, e8mol and e10mol, has a velocity dispersion $\sigma = 2.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in $r = 2.9''$ (0.07 pc).

If we assume all six sources in the e1 subcluster represent OB stars ($M > 8 M_{\odot}$) and the stellar masses are distributed according to a standard Kroupa (2001) mass function, the cluster should be star-dominated with a mass $M_{cluster} = 600 \pm 170 M_{\odot}$. The stellar virial mass in the e1 subcluster implied by its velocity dispersion and radius is $M_{vir,*} = 670 M_{\odot}$, given that (1) the stars are virialized, which is appropriate if the stellar mass is dominant (Kruijssen et al. 2012) and (2) the stars follow a Plummer (1911) profile (cf. Portegies Zwart et al. 2010, though the inferred mass is insensitive to the assumed radial profile). The agreement between the luminous and virial masses hints that the e1 subcluster is stellar dominated, which can be checked independently by putting constraints on the gas mass. The lower limit on the gas density from detecting H₂CO in emission is $n > 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, which implies a gas mass $M_{gas} > 100 M_{\odot}$ within the e1 subcluster. Overall, the observations of e1 are consistent with it being a stellar-dominated but nonetheless gas-rich ($M_{gas}/M_* > 0.15$) system.

Given the mass of W51 Main and its $\sim 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ escape velocity, all of these (proto)stars are bound to the overall cluster gas. In the innermost region, where the stellar mass

is dominant, the stars are also likely to be bound to themselves.

4.6. Constraints on the bulk outflow from IRS2

In Section 3.4, we noted that the velocity structure of the RRL emission in W51 IRS2 is consistent with outflowing ionized gas. We use the RRL emission to constrain the ionized gas mass loss rate from this cluster. The outflowing ionized gas we observe is unbound from the system, moving at $\sim 25 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ relative to the cluster rest velocity, which is greater than the escape velocity $v_{esc} \approx 8 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ assuming $M_{cluster} = 10^4 M_{\odot}$ and $r_{protocluster \text{ gas}} = 1.5 \text{ pc}$. The remaining ionized gas is bound to the system, with thermal line width $c_s \approx 6.7 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ assuming $T_e = 7.5 \times 10^4 \text{ K}$ (Ginsburg et al. 2015).

We use Equation 14.28 of Wilson et al. (2009) to determine the emission measure:

$$EM = 5.2 \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{T_B}{\text{K}} \right) \left(\frac{T_e}{\text{K}} \right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{\Delta\nu}{\text{kHz}} \right) \text{cm}^{-6} \text{pc} \quad (1)$$

To estimate an upper limit on the outflow mass, we use the peak brightness in the shell at 37.5 km s^{-1} of $S_{\nu} = 1.7 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$ in a 10 km s^{-1} band ($T_B = 60 \text{ K}$; see Figure 5, panel 4) and assume it fills the entire shell with $r = 0.25 \text{ pc}$. The resulting emission measure is $EM \approx 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-6} \text{ pc}$, which for a shell with width 0.03 pc yields a density $n_e \approx 2.4 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and a mass $M_{shell} = 3.5 M_{\odot}$. For a more conservative upper limit, we assume the entire surface area (a circle, rather than a shell) has the same emission measure, resulting in $M_{outflow} = 12 M_{\odot}$. The shell has a velocity difference from the peak of the H II region emission $\Delta v = 25 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, which at its current radius translates to a time $t = r/\Delta v = 7.5 \text{ kyr}$. The implied *upper limit* mass loss rate is $\dot{M} = 1.6 \times 10^3 M_{\odot} \text{ Myr}^{-1}$, which gives an evaporation timescale for this $M > 10^4 M_{\odot}$ clump $t > 6 \text{ Myr}$. The free-fall time averaged over the full volume of the proto-cluster gas is $t_{ff} < 0.5 \text{ Myr}$ assuming $n = 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ within $R = 1.5 \text{ pc}$, so the photoevaporation timescale is at least




Fig. 19. H77 α spectra of W51e1 and W51e2. These velocities are used in conjunction with the H₂CO emission fits to determine the velocity dispersion in Section 4.5. The red curve shows the fit. The lower spectrum shows the fit residual, with the dashed line indicating the zero level.

an order of magnitude longer. However, the molecular gas is centrally condensed (most of the volume has no molecular gas) and under pressure from an HII region, so the local gas density is likely to be much higher and the collapse timescale therefore shorter. Given the conservative assumptions adopted above, the true photoevaporative mass loss is likely an order of magnitude lower, suggesting that this mass loss mechanism is negligible at present.

5. Discussion

5.1. The massive stars and the clusters

There are three distinct massive star populations identified in W51: W51 IRS2, W51e1/e2, and the new W51e20 group. The W51 IRS2 cluster is closer to exhausting its available gas reservoir than the W51e1/e2 cluster, since it is visible in the near-infrared. The presence of many stars with no ongoing accretion, along with the large volumes that are not occupied by dense gas, suggest the IRS2 cluster is older than W51e1/e2. The e1/e2 protocluster shows no signs of gas ejection, has no holes through which short-wavelength radiation is escaping, and contains no demonstrably non-accreting stars. Because they have no evident surrounding dense gas or suggestions of ongoing accretion, the W51e20 stars are likely the oldest in the W51A system.

However, W51e20 and the related W51e candidate CWBs may constitute an unclustered population. The Chandra MOXC catalog (Townsend et al. 2014) reveals that the most evident cluster is W51 IRS2, and while there is a mild overdensity associated with the W51 Main region, it is more amorphous than centrally concentrated (Figure 20). While it is possible that the e20 group will merge with the e1/e2 cluster, the later evolutionary stage of the e20 group (they are not embedded or accreting) suggests that they formed separately and in smaller groupings.

The W51d CWB candidates, by contrast, are each isolated, and they are distributed around W51 IRS2 (Figures 6, 2). These stars could therefore be runaways from the IRS2 cluster. Source d5 is the furthest of these in projection, so with a distance of 0.9 pc, its ejection time is $t = 0.08 (v_*/10 \text{ km s}^{-1})^{-1} \text{ Myr}$. The runaway scenario provides an alternate explanation for the observed SEDs (Appendix B): synchrotron emission from the wind-ISM bow

shock might generate the detected emission. This scenario can be readily verified if high ($> 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) line-of-sight velocities to the near-infrared-visible stars are measured.

5.2. The future evolution of the W51 clusters and molecular cloud

The W51 clusters are capable of reaching a high star formation efficiency, since the escape velocity from them is greater than the sound speed in ionized gas (Matzner 2002; Ginsburg et al. 2012; Bressert et al. 2012; Dale et al. 2014) and the HII regions evidently leak optical photons, reducing the effectiveness of radiative and wind feedback. If they really are forming one or more young massive clusters, the gas within $\sim 1 \text{ pc}$ must be evacuated or exhausted to achieve a final appearance like that of NGC 3603 or Trumpler 14, our Galaxy's prototypical young massive clusters.

While the feedback from massive stars throughout W51 is intense, it is not halting star formation. There is evidence for ongoing star formation in both W51e1/e2 and IRS2 in the form of molecular cores (Section 4.3), ongoing accretion onto massive young stellar objects (MYSOs) like IRS2E (Figueroa et al. 2008), and the numerous HCHII regions that are likely to be accreting. In e1/e2, there is no sign that feedback has evacuated *any* gas yet, and the HII regions appear to be surrounded by molecular gas.

In IRS2, accretion is ongoing despite much of its volume being evacuated of molecular gas. Ionizing photons are escaping the inner cluster and ionized gas is being driven out of the inner cluster where the stars are forming. However, the timescale for complete gas evacuation in IRS2 at the presently observed mass loss rate is $>10\times$ longer than the gas free-fall time on the $\sim 1 \text{ parsec}$ scale of the clump, and the star-forming gas is much more concentrated than this parsec-scale average (Section 4.6). Feedback is therefore progressing more slowly than star formation. The inefficiency of feedback in removing the star-forming gas supports the argument that the most massive clumps in the galaxy end their formation by exhausting their parent reservoir rather than by disrupting their parent cloud (Kruijssen et al. 2012; Matzner & Jumper 2015).

The coexistence of distributed and clustered populations over a fairly compact region affects how their feedback couples to the local cloud. Because these populations are




Fig. 20. Contours & individual points showing the X-ray source density from the MOXC (Townsley et al. 2014) catalog overlaid on the C-band image of W51. See Section 5.1.

nearly coeval and spatially coincident, the feedback from the OB star population onto the gas within $\lesssim 5$ pc is not spherically symmetric as if it were injected from a single cluster. The distributed population will render the ~ 5 pc-scale cloud more holey (porous) than would be implied by a smooth or even a very turbulent molecular cloud. These holes provide networks of low-density gaps through which radiation can escape, reducing the overall impact of radiative feedback on the cloud. Radiative escape helps explain why feedback has been inefficient at halting further star formation. We conclude that feedback only affects the low-density gas, while the high-density, star-forming gas is largely unaffected.

5.2.1. Merging & age spread

Given the high binding energy of the clusters and the proximity of many other stars, it is likely that some of the observed subclusters will merge. Merger or collapse of clusters is a requirement for the formation of massive clusters in the Galactic center and disk (Walker et al. 2015, 2016), so it is reasonable to expect similar dynamic evolution here as well.

If any of the observed subclusters merge (e.g., e1/e2 with e20-e22 or with IRS2), the resulting cluster will have an age spread greater than the present age spread. If we assume the e20 cluster is responsible for driving the nearest part of the W51 Main shell (W51 IRS1) at a separation of 0.35 parsecs with a D-type ionization front at $v \sim 2$ km s $^{-1}$, we derive a lower limit on its age $t_{e20} > 0.17$ Myr. This lower limit is comparable to the gas free fall time in the

cluster and is still consistent with the most accurate stellar age spread estimates available from photometry of young clusters (e.g., Kudryavtseva et al. 2012).

If instead e20-e22 are part of a distributed population that will not merge with e1/e2, the W51 protoclusters are embedded within an OB association. The OB association will dissolve over a few Myr while the clusters will survive. However, before this happens, the OB association is likely to shut off accretion onto the protoclusters. The radiative feedback from the OB association can readily ionize the lower-density molecular gas in the outskirts of the clusters. In this way, the cluster's final mass would be externally feedback regulated rather than, or perhaps in addition to, being self-regulated.

5.2.2. Final mass

The present stellar mass as inferred from the infrared luminosity (Section 4.1) is a lower limit on the final mass of the clusters, i.e., the mass after the remaining gas has either been converted to stars or ejected from the system. The final mass of the combined clusters will be at least $\gtrsim 7 \times 10^3 + 5 \times 10^4 \eta M_{\odot}$, where $5 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$ is the approximate dense gas mass within ~ 2.5 pc and η is a star formation efficiency, likely to be at least 10% in the dense gas, so the stellar $M_{final} > 1.2 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$. This is a conservative lower limit, as it may miss a substantial population of stars whose luminosity is not reprocessed into the infrared and it uses conservatively low estimates for the gas mass and the star formation efficiency.

5.2.3. Cloud-scale feedback

Since the free-fall time is short within these clusters ($t_{ff} < 0.5$ Myr out to 2.5 pc containing $5 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$ of gas, i.e. the region containing both clusters), supernovae are not likely to affect their formation. However, once the clusters have exhausted gas locally, they will remain in the cloud long enough for the stars to evolve and die. Exhaustion and porosity also provide a relatively free line-of-sight from the clusters to the rest of the cloud. The binding energy of a $10^6 M_{\odot}$, $r = 50$ pc molecular cloud is only about 10^{51} ergs, so a single supernova perfectly coupled to the gas could unbind it all (though typical energy delivered to molecular clouds is lower, $\sim 0.01 - 0.25$; see references in Kruijssen 2012, appendix B). The ~ 20 O-stars presently formed, plus at least that many expected to form, could provide enough energy to unbind the whole W51 GMC in a few Myr. However, the location of the clusters on the outskirts of the GMC imply a substantially lower coupling factor, suggesting instead that supernovae will only partially disrupt the cloud.

5.2.4. Evidence against triggering

The star formation ongoing within this cloud shows no signs of having been triggered by expanding H II regions, though there are multiple generations separated by temporal and spatial scales that might otherwise suggest a typical expanding-H II-region-driven triggering scenario. The main regions of ongoing star formation at present are W51e1/e2 and IRS2. However, the most evident region of feedback is the W51 Main Shell, especially W51 IRS1 (Figure A.2). Throughout the W51 Main Shell, there is no sign of any excess of newly formed protostars (Figure 20) and there is a clear deficit of molecular gas in W51 IRS1 as traced by $C^{18}O$ (Figure 11; Parsons et al. 2012). Where there is the strongest evidence of interacting and colliding H II region shells (Figure 5 as discussed in Section 3.4), no sign of ongoing star formation is observed.

6. Conclusions

We present deep, high-resolution radio continuum images in many low-frequency bands and H77 α and o-H₂CO $2_{1,1} - 2_{1,2}$ spectral line cubes from the Jansky Very Large Array with angular resolution $0.3''$ to $0.5''$. These observations have revealed many intriguing features of the W51 protocluster that together suggest that thermal and radiative feedback from massive stars is ineffective at halting star formation in massive protoclusters, similar to what has been concluded in other high-mass protoclusters (Ginsburg et al. 2012; Galvan-Madrid et al. 2013, e.g.). These observations support theoretical models that require ineffective feedback as a necessary step in the formation of gravitationally bound stellar clusters.

- Using the H77 α emission and H₂CO emission and absorption line information, we have measured the velocity dispersion of the W51 e1 protocluster $\sigma_v = 2.0$ km s⁻¹. Although this cluster consists of hypercompact and ultracompact H II regions, indicating that it is extremely gas-rich, the velocity dispersion implies a stellar virial mass that is consistent with an extrapolated IMF stellar mass, suggesting that the innermost cluster ($r < 0.07$ pc) is already dynamically star-dominated.

- The SEDs of the point sources detected throughout the W51A region have peculiar shapes. Most of them exhibit an excess at low frequencies (< 5 GHz) that renders their overall SED shape inconsistent with either an optically thick or thin free-free spectrum. These sources are likely to be colliding wind binaries, exhibiting a combination of free-free and synchrotron emission.
- We detected radio recombination line (H77 α) emission throughout W51. The RRLs trace a number of overlapping bubbles toward the W51 IRS1 emission peak and show that the IRS2 region has excavated a cavity along our line of sight.
- The Lacy et al. (2007) high-velocity ionized jet is detected in H77 α at velocities consistent with the [Ne II] observations. The jet remains mysterious as there is still no direct information about what drives it.
- We have detected the three massive cores from Zhang & Ho (1997) in H₂CO $2_{1,1} - 2_{1,2}$ emission. For W51 North, we evaluated the mass and derived a lower limit $M > 15 M_{\odot}$, though it is likely to be significantly higher. The H₂CO abundance in these cores is enhanced compared to the surrounding molecular cloud by at least $100\times$, with $X_{H_2CO} \sim 10^{-7}$, similar to the Orion BN/KL hot core (Mangum et al. 1993). In the W51e8 molecular core, we have found a compact continuum source, indicating unambiguously that these cores are forming massive stars.
- Because of its association with high-mass protostellar cores, the H₂CO $2_{1,1} - 2_{1,2}$ 14.488 GHz transition is a good tracer of early-stage massive star formation. Formaldehyde is apparently enhanced in abundance by 2-3 orders of magnitude over ISM values in high-mass protostellar cores, allowing it to be detected in emission at long wavelengths. This transition will be a powerful tool for studying the earliest stages of high-mass star formation throughout the Galaxy with the Next Generation VLA or a Square Kilometer Array. Ongoing JVLA surveys (e.g., KuGARS, PI Thompson, <http://library.nrao.edu/proposals/catalog/10267>) may detect a significant additional population of proto-O-stars.
- The W51e1/e2 and IRS2 protoclusters appear to be high-mass clusters forming within a larger OB association. The coexistence of the distributed and clustered OB-star populations suggests that *external* feedback may be partly responsible for halting protocluster feeding.
- The weak outflow from IRS2 and ongoing accretion in IRS2 and e1/e2 suggest that gas exhaustion is a more important mechanism than expulsion in halting cluster growth. Recent theoretical models predict gas exhaustion to be a necessary step in the formation of gravitationally bound stellar clusters (e.g., Kruijssen 2012) and our results provide evidence of this process in action.

These results show that even the low-pressure interstellar medium of the Milky Way can reach densities high enough for gravitational collapse to form clusters on $< \text{Myr}$ timescales, rendering stellar feedback ineffective. Future observational studies aiming to understand the formation of massive stellar clusters should target regions with properties similar to W51, where gas exhaustion may be prevalent and the conditions therefore enable gravitationally bound clusters to form.

Acknowledgements:

The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc. JMDK gratefully acknowledges financial support in the form of a Gliese Fellowship and an Emmy Noether Research Group from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), grant number KR4801/1-1.

Code Packages Used:

- pyradex <https://github.com/adamginsburg/pyradex>
- myRadex <https://github.com/fjdu/myRadex>
- pyspeckit <http://pyspeckit.bitbucket.org> Ginsburg & Mirocha (2011)
- aplpy <https://aplpy.github.io/>
- wcsaxes <http://wcsaxes.readthedocs.org>
- spectral cube <http://spectral-cube.readthedocs.org>
- pvextractor <http://pvextractor.readthedocs.org/>
- ds9 <http://ds9.si.edu>

References

- Adamo, A., Kruijssen, J. M. D., Bastian, N., Silva-Villa, E., & Ryon, J. 2015, *MNRAS*, 452, 246
- Araya, E., Hofner, P., Goss, W. M., Linz, H., Kurtz, S., & Olmi, L. 2007, *ApJS*, 170, 152
- Barbosa, C. L., Blum, R. D., Conti, P. S., Damineli, A., & Figuerêdo, E. 2008, *ApJ*, 678, L55
- Bastien, P., Batrla, W., Henkel, C., Pauls, T., Walmsley, C. M., & Wilson, T. L. 1985, *A&A*, 146, 86
- Batrla, W., Wilson, T. L., & Martin-Pintado, J. 1983, *A&A*, 119, 139
- Benjamin, R. A. et al. 2003, *PASP*, 115, 953
- Bieging, J. H., Abbott, D. C., & Churchwell, E. B. 1989, *ApJ*, 340, 518
- Bressert, E., Ginsburg, A., Bally, J., Battersby, C., Longmore, S., & Testi, L. 2012, *ApJ*, 758, L28
- Carrasco-González, C. et al. 2015, *Science*, 348, 114
- Condon, J. J. & Ransom, S. 2007, *Essential Radio Astronomy* (NRAO)
- Dale, J. E., Ngoumou, J., Ercolano, B., & Bonnell, I. A. 2014, *MNRAS*, 442, 694
- De Becker, M. & Raucq, F. 2013, *A&A*, 558, A28
- De Pree, C. G. et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 781, L36
- Evans, II, N. J., Sato, T., Morris, G., & Zuckerman, B. 1975, *ApJ*, 199, 383
- Figuerêdo, E., Blum, R. D., Damineli, A., Conti, P. S., & Barbosa, C. L. 2008, *AJ*, 136, 221
- Forbrich, J. & Wolk, S. J. 2013, *A&A*, 551, A56
- Forbrich, D. et al. 2011, *MNRAS*, 413, 480
- Galván-Madrid, R., Keto, E., Zhang, Q., Kurtz, S., Rodríguez, L. F., & Ho, P. T. P. 2009, *ApJ*, 706, 1036
- Galván-Madrid, R. et al. 2013, in *Protostars and Planets VI*, Heidelberg, July 15-20, 2013. Poster #1K015, 15
- Galván-Madrid, R., Peters, T., Keto, E. R., Mac Low, M.-M., Banerjee, R., & Klessen, R. S. 2011, *MNRAS*, 416, 1033
- Ginsburg, A., Bally, J., Battersby, C., Youngblood, A., Darling, J., Rosolowsky, E., Arce, H., & Lebrón Santos, M. E. 2015, *A&A*, 573, A106
- Ginsburg, A., Bressert, E., Bally, J., & Battersby, C. 2012, *ApJ*, 758, L29
- Ginsburg, A., Darling, J., Battersby, C., Zeiger, B., & Bally, J. 2011, *ApJ*, 736, 149
- Ginsburg, A. & Mirocha, J. 2011, *PySpecKit: Python Spectroscopic Toolkit*, Astrophysics Source Code Library
- Goddard, Q. E., Bastian, N., & Kennicutt, R. C. 2010, *MNRAS*, 405, 857
- Goddi, C., Ginsburg, A., & Zhang, Q. 2016
- Goddi, C., Henkel, C., Zhang, Q., Zapata, L., & Wilson, T. L. 2015, *A&A*, 573, A109
- Goldader, J. D. & Wynn-Williams, C. G. 1994, *ApJ*, 433, 164
- Harvey, P. M., Joy, M., Lester, D. F., & Wilking, B. A. 1986, *ApJ*, 300, 737
- Hodapp, K. W. & Davis, C. J. 2002, *ApJ*, 575, 291
- Johnston, K. J., Henkel, C., & Wilson, T. L. 1984, *ApJ*, 285, L85
- Johnston, K. J., Palmer, P., Wilson, T. L., & Bieging, J. H. 1983, *ApJ*, 271, L89
- Keto, E. 2003, *ApJ*, 599, 1196
- Keto, E. & Klaassen, P. 2008, *ApJ*, 678, L109
- Keto, E., Zhang, Q., & Kurtz, S. 2008, *ApJ*, 672, 423
- Kroupa, P. 2001, *MNRAS*, 322, 231
- Kruijssen, J. M. D. 2012, *MNRAS*, 426, 3008
- Kruijssen, J. M. D., Maschberger, T., Moeckel, N., Clarke, C. J., Bastian, N., & Bonnell, I. A. 2012, *MNRAS*, 419, 841
- Kudryavtseva, N. et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 750, L44
- Kumar, M. S. N., Kamath, U. S., & Davis, C. J. 2004, *MNRAS*, 353, 1025
- Kurtz, S. 2002, in *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, Vol. 267, Hot Star Workshop III: The Earliest Phases of Massive Star Birth, ed. P. Crowther, 81
- Kutner, M. L., Evans, II, N. J., & Tucker, K. D. 1976, *ApJ*, 209, 452
- Lacy, J. H. et al. 2007, *ApJ*, 658, L45
- Liu, H. B. et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 780, 155
- Longmore, S. N. et al. 2014, *Protostars and Planets VI*, 291
- López-Santiago, J., Peri, C. S., Bonito, R., Miceli, M., Albacete-Colombo, J. F., Benaglia, P., & de Castro, E. 2013, *ApJ*, 776, L22
- Loren, R. B., Sandqvist, A., & Wootten, A. 1983, *ApJ*, 270, 620
- Loren, R. B., Wootten, A., Sandqvist, A., & Bernes, C. 1980, *ApJ*, 240, L165
- Lucas, P. W. et al. 2008, *MNRAS*, 391, 136
- Madau, P. & Dickinson, M. 2014, *ARA&A*, 52, 415
- Mangum, J. G., Darling, J., Menten, K. M., & Henkel, C. 2008, *ApJ*, 673, 832
- Mangum, J. G. & Shirley, Y. L. 2015, *PASP*, 127, 266
- Mangum, J. G. & Wootten, A. 1993, *ApJS*, 89, 123
- Mangum, J. G., Wootten, A., & Plambeck, R. L. 1993, *ApJ*, 409, 282
- Martin-Pintado, J., Wilson, T. L., Gardner, F. F., & Henkel, C. 1983, *A&A*, 117, 145
- Masqué, J. M., Rodríguez, L. F., Araudo, A., Estalella, R., Carrasco-González, C., Anglada, G., Girart, J. M., & Osorio, M. 2015
- Matzner, C. D. 2002, *ApJ*, 566, 302
- Matzner, C. D. & Jumper, P. H. 2015, *ApJ*, 815, 68
- McMullin, J. P., Waters, B., Schiebel, D., Young, W., & Golap, K. 2007, in *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, Vol. 376, *Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XVI*, ed. R. A. Shaw, F. Hill, & D. J. Bell, 127
- Mehring, D. M. 1994, *ApJS*, 91, 713
- Molinari, S. et al. 2016, *ArXiv e-prints*
- . 2010, *A&A*, 518, L100
- Moscadelli, L., Cesaroni, R., Sánchez-Monge, Á., Goddi, C., Furuya, R. S., Sanna, A., & Pestalozzi, M. 2013, *A&A*, 558, A145
- Moscadelli, L. et al. 2016, *A&A*, 585, A71
- Okumura, S.-i., Mori, A., Nishihara, E., Watanabe, E., & Yamashita, T. 2000, *ApJ*, 543, 799
- Panagia, N. & Felli, M. 1975, *A&A*, 39, 1
- Parsons, H., Thompson, M. A., Clark, J. S., & Chrysostomou, A. 2012, *MNRAS*, 424, 1658
- Pecaut, M. J. & Mamajek, E. E. 2013, *ApJS*, 208, 9
- Peters, T., Banerjee, R., Klessen, R. S., Mac Low, M.-M., Galván-Madrid, R., & Keto, E. R. 2010a, *ApJ*, 711, 1017
- Peters, T., Mac Low, M.-M., Banerjee, R., Klessen, R. S., & Dullemond, C. P. 2010b, *ApJ*, 719, 831
- Plummer, H. C. 1911, *MNRAS*, 71, 460
- Portegies Zwart, S. F., McMillan, S. L. W., & Gieles, M. 2010, *ARA&A*, 48, 431
- Reynolds, S. P. 1986, *ApJ*, 304, 713
- Sato, M., Reid, M. J., Brunthaler, A., & Menten, K. M. 2010, *ApJ*, 720, 1055
- Shalabiea, O. M. & Greenberg, J. M. 1994, *A&A*, 290
- Shi, H., Zhao, J.-H., & Han, J. L. 2010a, *ApJ*, 718, L181
- . 2010b, *ApJ*, 710, 843
- Sievers, A. W., Mezger, P. G., Bordeon, M. A., Kreysa, E., Haslam, C. G. T., & Lemke, R. 1991, *A&A*, 251, 231
- Surcis, G., Vlemmings, W. H. T., van Langevelde, H. J., & Hutawarakorn, B. 2012, *A&A*, 541, A47
- Tanaka, K. E. I., Tan, J. C., & Zhang, Y. 2016, *ApJ*, 818, 52
- Townsend, L. K., Broos, P. S., Garmire, G. P., Bouwman, J., Povich, M. S., Feigelson, E. D., Getman, K. V., & Kuhn, M. A. 2014, *ApJS*, 213, 1
- Traficante, A. et al. 2011, *MNRAS*, 416, 2932
- Urquhart, J. S., Figura, C. C., Moore, T. J. T., Hoare, M. G., Lumsden, S. L., Mottram, J. C., Thompson, M. A., & Oudmaier, R. D. 2014a, *MNRAS*, 437, 1791

- Urquhart, J. S. et al. 2014b, MNRAS, 443, 1555
 —. 2013, MNRAS, 435, 400
 Vacca, W. D., Garmany, C. D., & Shull, J. M. 1996, ApJ, 460, 914
 van Dishoeck, E. F. & Blake, G. A. 1998, ARA&A, 36, 317
 Wadiak, E. J., Wilson, T. L., Rood, R. T., & Johnston, K. J. 1985, ApJ, 295, L43
 Walker, D. L., Longmore, S. N., Bastian, N., Kruijssen, J. M. D., Rathborne, J. M., Galván-Madrid, R., & Liu, H. B. 2016, MNRAS, 457, 4536
 Walker, D. L., Longmore, S. N., Bastian, N., Kruijssen, J. M. D., Rathborne, J. M., Jackson, J. M., Foster, J. B., & Contreras, Y. 2015, MNRAS, 449, 715
 Wilson, T. L. & Johnston, K. J. 1989, ApJ, 340, 894
 Wilson, T. L., Martin-Pintado, J., Gardner, F. F., & Henkel, C. 1982, A&A, 107, L10
 Wilson, T. L., Rohlfs, K., & Hüttemeister, S. 2009, Tools of Radio Astronomy (Springer-Verlag)
 Wright, A. E. & Barlow, M. J. 1975, MNRAS, 170, 41
 Xu, Y., Reid, M. J., Menten, K. M., Brunthaler, A., Zheng, X. W., & Moscadelli, L. 2009, ApJ, 693, 413
 Yusef-Zadeh, F. 1990, ApJ, 361, L19
 Zapata, L. A., Ho, P. T. P., Schilke, P., Rodríguez, L. F., Menten, K., Palau, A., & Garrod, R. T. 2009, ApJ, 698, 1422
 Zapata, L. A., Palau, A., Ho, P. T. P., Schilke, P., Garrod, R. T., Rodríguez, L. F., & Menten, K. 2008, A&A, 479, L25
 Zapata, L. A., Rodríguez, L. F., Kurtz, S. E., O'Dell, C. R., & Ho, P. T. P. 2004, ApJ, 610, L121
 Zapata, L. A., Tang, Y.-W., & Leurini, S. 2010, ApJ, 725, 1091
 Zeiger, B. & Darling, J. 2010, ApJ, 709, 386
 Zhang, Q. & Ho, P. T. P. 1995, ApJ, 450, L63
 —. 1997, ApJ, 488, 241

Appendix A: Figures labeling point sources and diffuse regions

We include additional versions of Figure A.1 with labels for the compact (Figure 2) and extended (Figure A.2) H II regions.




Fig. A.1. The C-band image of the W51 region produced with a combination of JVL A C and A array data using uniform weighting. Annotated versions of this figure identifying the regions discussed are available in the Appendix.




Fig. A.2. The C-band image of the W51A region with diffuse H II regions labeled. The labels are above the circles except where arrows are used. The regions labeled W51 Main 65 kms RRL shell and W51 Main 40 kms RRL shell are part of W51 IRS1 (e.g., Zhang & Ho 1997); we have given them independent labels here because we are now able to kinematically distinguish them in RRL emission and because they are evidently part of (or interacting with) a large shell centered near W51 Main.

Table A.1. Continuum Point Sources

Object	Epoch	Obs. Date	Peak S_ν mJy beam ⁻¹	Peak - Background mJy beam ⁻¹	RMS mJy beam ⁻¹	Frequency GHz
d2	2	2012-10-16	5.5	7.7	0.2	2.5
d2	2	2012-10-16	4.4	6.3	0.06	3.5
d2	1	1992-10-25	10	8.7	0.2	4.9
d2	2	2012-10-16	6	6.4	0.05	4.9
d2	3	2014-04-19	6.5	6.2	0.08	4.9
d2	2	2012-10-16	3.5	4.6	0.04	5.9
d2	3	2014-04-19	4.8	4.8	0.05	5.9
d2	1	1992-10-25	15	13	0.06	8.4
d2	2	2013-03-02	18	17	0.1	12.6
d2	2	2013-03-02	18	17	0.09	14.1
d2	1	1993-03-16	10	10	0.5	22.5
d2	2	2012-05-31	28	30	0.8	25.0
d2	2	2012-06-21	26	28	1	27.0
d2	2	2012-08-07	22	24	2	29.0
d2	2	2012-06-21	26	27	1	33.0
d2	2	2012-08-07	29	32	1	36.0
d3-diffuse	2	2012-10-16	0.4	0.4	0.2	2.5
d3-diffuse	2	2012-10-16	0.07	0.19	0.06	3.5
d3-diffuse	3	2014-04-19	0.19	0.17	0.08	4.9
d3-diffuse	2	2012-10-16	0.03	0.08	0.05	4.9
d3-diffuse	1	1992-10-25	0.3	0.3	0.2	4.9
d3-diffuse	2	2012-10-16	0.04	0.08	0.04	5.9
d3-diffuse	3	2014-04-19	0.03	0.1	0.05	5.9
d3-diffuse	1	1992-10-25	0.47	0.37	0.06	8.4

d3-diffuse	2	2013-03-02	0.4	0.4	0.1	12.6
d3-diffuse	2	2013-03-02	0.12	0.19	0.09	14.1
d3-diffuse	1	1993-03-16	-	-	0.5	22.5
d3-diffuse	2	2012-05-31	-	-	0.8	25.0
d3-diffuse	2	2012-06-21	-	-	1	27.0
d3-diffuse	2	2012-08-07	-	-	2	29.0
d3-diffuse	2	2012-06-21	-	-	1	33.0
d3-diffuse	2	2012-08-07	-	-	1	36.0
d4e	2	2012-10-16	1.1	1.3	0.2	2.5
d4e	2	2012-10-16	0.91	1.2	0.06	3.5
d4e	3	2014-04-19	0.85	1	0.08	4.9
d4e	1	1992-10-25	-0.1	0.5	0.2	4.9
d4e	2	2012-10-16	0.79	1.1	0.05	4.9
d4e	3	2014-04-19	0.62	0.75	0.05	5.9
d4e	2	2012-10-16	0.7	0.91	0.04	5.9
d4e	1	1992-10-25	0.23	0.21	0.06	8.4
d4e	2	2013-03-02	1	1.3	0.1	12.6
d4e	2	2013-03-02	0.95	1.2	0.09	14.1
d4e	1	1993-03-16	-0.4	0.7	0.5	22.5
d4e	2	2012-05-31	0.5	3	0.8	25.0
d4e	2	2012-06-21	1	3	1	27.0
d4e	2	2012-08-07	1	5	2	29.0
d4e	2	2012-06-21	-	-	1	33.0
d4e	2	2012-08-07	-	-	1	36.0
d4w	2	2012-10-16	1.1	1.3	0.2	2.5
d4w	2	2012-10-16	0.91	1.2	0.06	3.5
d4w	2	2012-10-16	0.51	0.79	0.05	4.9
d4w	1	1992-10-25	0.1	0.6	0.2	4.9
d4w	3	2014-04-19	0.6	0.77	0.08	4.9
d4w	2	2012-10-16	0.45	0.66	0.04	5.9
d4w	3	2014-04-19	0.4	0.53	0.05	5.9
d4w	1	1992-10-25	0.2	0.17	0.06	8.4
d4w	2	2013-03-02	0.9	1.2	0.1	12.6
d4w	2	2013-03-02	0.67	0.91	0.09	14.1
d4w	1	1993-03-16	-0.3	1	0.5	22.5
d4w	2	2012-05-31	0	1.9	0.8	25.0
d4w	2	2012-06-21	1	4	1	27.0
d4w	2	2012-08-07	1	5	2	29.0
d4w	2	2012-06-21	-	-	1	33.0
d4w	2	2012-08-07	-	-	1	36.0
d5	2	2012-10-16	0.3	0.4	0.2	2.5
d5	2	2012-10-16	0.04	0.24	0.06	3.5
d5	1	1992-10-25	-0	0.5	0.2	4.9
d5	3	2014-04-19	0.01	0.11	0.08	4.9
d5	2	2012-10-16	0.03	0.09	0.05	4.9
d5	3	2014-04-19	0.04	0.09	0.05	5.9
d5	2	2012-10-16	-0.03	0.11	0.04	5.9
d5	1	1992-10-25	0.1	0.14	0.06	8.4
d5	2	2013-03-02	0.1	0.5	0.1	12.6
d5	2	2013-03-02	0.3	0.54	0.09	14.1
d5	1	1993-03-16	0.7	1.5	0.5	22.5
d5	2	2012-05-31	1.7	2.3	0.8	25.0
d5	2	2012-06-21	1	3	1	27.0
d5	2	2012-08-07	0	3	2	29.0
d5	2	2012-06-21	1	2	1	33.0
d5	2	2012-08-07	2	4	1	36.0
d6	2	2012-10-16	1	1.4	0.2	2.5
d6	2	2012-10-16	0.2	0.6	0.06	3.5
d6	3	2014-04-19	0.42	0.47	0.08	4.9
d6	1	1992-10-25	0.6	0.8	0.2	4.9
d6	2	2012-10-16	0.34	0.54	0.05	4.9
d6	2	2012-10-16	0.33	0.47	0.04	5.9
d6	3	2014-04-19	0.38	0.43	0.05	5.9
d6	1	1992-10-25	0.78	0.47	0.06	8.4

d6	2	2013-03-02	0.3	0.7	0.1	12.6
d6	2	2013-03-02	0.34	0.59	0.09	14.1
d6	1	1993-03-16	0.6	1.4	0.5	22.5
d6	2	2012-05-31	0.2	0.8	0.8	25.0
d6	2	2012-06-21	-0	2	1	27.0
d6	2	2012-08-07	-0	2	2	29.0
d6	2	2012-06-21	-1	1	1	33.0
d6	2	2012-08-07	0	2	1	36.0
d7	2	2012-10-16	0.4	0.9	0.2	2.5
d7	2	2012-10-16	0.22	0.7	0.06	3.5
d7	1	1992-10-25	0.7	1	0.2	4.9
d7	2	2012-10-16	0.14	0.33	0.05	4.9
d7	3	2014-04-19	0.24	0.38	0.08	4.9
d7	2	2012-10-16	0.16	0.27	0.04	5.9
d7	3	2014-04-19	0.21	0.29	0.05	5.9
d7	1	1992-10-25	0.71	0.55	0.06	8.4
d7	2	2013-03-02	0.3	0.6	0.1	12.6
d7	2	2013-03-02	0.46	0.61	0.09	14.1
d7	1	1993-03-16	-0	1	0.5	22.5
d7	2	2012-05-31	0.1	1.3	0.8	25.0
d7	2	2012-06-21	0	1	1	27.0
d7	2	2012-08-07	2	2	2	29.0
d7	2	2012-06-21	1	1	1	33.0
d7	2	2012-08-07	1	2	1	36.0
e1	2	2012-10-16	11	11	0.2	2.5
e1	2	2012-10-16	11	11	0.06	3.5
e1	2	2012-10-16	10	11	0.05	4.9
e1	3	2014-04-19	8.3	8.6	0.08	4.9
e1	1	1992-10-25	16	16	0.2	4.9
e1	3	2014-04-19	7.2	7.5	0.05	5.9
e1	2	2012-10-16	8.2	8.2	0.04	5.9
e1	1	1992-10-25	20	20	0.06	8.4
e1	2	2013-03-02	23	23	0.1	12.6
e1	2	2013-03-02	19	19	0.09	14.1
e1	1	1993-03-16	12	14	0.5	22.5
e1	2	2012-05-31	13	14	0.8	25.0
e1	2	2012-06-21	8	11	1	27.0
e1	2	2012-08-07	5	8	2	29.0
e1	2	2012-06-21	7	9	1	33.0
e1	2	2012-08-07	4	7	1	36.0
e10	2	2012-10-16	1.8	1.8	0.2	2.5
e10	2	2012-10-16	1.6	1.9	0.06	3.5
e10	2	2012-10-16	1.7	2	0.05	4.9
e10	1	1992-10-25	2.2	2.3	0.2	4.9
e10	3	2014-04-19	1.5	1.7	0.08	4.9
e10	3	2014-04-19	1.3	1.5	0.05	5.9
e10	2	2012-10-16	1.5	1.7	0.04	5.9
e10	1	1992-10-25	1.4	1.1	0.06	8.4
e10	2	2013-03-02	2.1	2.4	0.1	12.6
e10	2	2013-03-02	1.7	2	0.09	14.1
e10	1	1993-03-16	0.8	2.4	0.5	22.5
e10	2	2012-05-31	1.7	2.8	0.8	25.0
e10	2	2012-06-21	2	5	1	27.0
e10	2	2012-08-07	1	3	2	29.0
e10	2	2012-06-21	3	7	1	33.0
e10	2	2012-08-07	1	6	1	36.0
e11	2	2012-10-16	0.1	0.4	0.2	2.5
e11	2	2012-10-16	0.17	0.25	0.06	3.5
e11	1	1992-10-25	0.5	0.8	0.2	4.9
e11	3	2014-04-19	0.24	0.28	0.08	4.9
e11	2	2012-10-16	0.25	0.35	0.05	4.9
e11	3	2014-04-19	0.28	0.35	0.05	5.9
e11	2	2012-10-16	0.29	0.38	0.04	5.9
e11	1	1992-10-25	0.37	0.32	0.06	8.4

e11	2	2013-03-02	0.5	0.8	0.1	12.6
e11	2	2013-03-02	0.55	0.63	0.09	14.1
e11	1	1993-03-16	0.3	0.9	0.5	22.5
e11	2	2012-05-31	0.7	1.6	0.8	25.0
e11	2	2012-06-21	3	3	1	27.0
e11	2	2012-08-07	0	4	2	29.0
e11	2	2012-06-21	0	2	1	33.0
e11	2	2012-08-07	2	3	1	36.0
e12	2	2012-10-16	-0	0.9	0.2	2.5
e12	2	2012-10-16	0.6	0.75	0.06	3.5
e12	1	1992-10-25	2.1	1.3	0.2	4.9
e12	2	2012-10-16	0.38	0.46	0.05	4.9
e12	3	2014-04-19	0.03	0.47	0.08	4.9
e12	2	2012-10-16	0.25	0.41	0.04	5.9
e12	3	2014-04-19	0.42	0.48	0.05	5.9
e12	1	1992-10-25	1.7	0.72	0.06	8.4
e12	2	2013-03-02	1	0.7	0.1	12.6
e12	2	2013-03-02	0.6	0.55	0.09	14.1
e12	1	1993-03-16	0.2	1.2	0.5	22.5
e12	2	2012-05-31	0.3	1.1	0.8	25.0
e12	2	2012-06-21	1	2	1	27.0
e12	2	2012-08-07	-0	3	2	29.0
e12	2	2012-06-21	1	2	1	33.0
e12	2	2012-08-07	0	2	1	36.0
e13	2	2012-10-16	0.8	2.4	0.2	2.5
e13	2	2012-10-16	1.1	1.5	0.06	3.5
e13	3	2014-04-19	0.57	0.92	0.08	4.9
e13	1	1992-10-25	2.7	2	0.2	4.9
e13	2	2012-10-16	0.76	0.97	0.05	4.9
e13	3	2014-04-19	0.57	0.72	0.05	5.9
e13	2	2012-10-16	0.6	0.81	0.04	5.9
e13	1	1992-10-25	2.1	1.4	0.06	8.4
e13	2	2013-03-02	1.9	1.8	0.1	12.6
e13	2	2013-03-02	1.5	1.4	0.09	14.1
e13	1	1993-03-16	0.6	1.5	0.5	22.5
e13	2	2012-05-31	1	1.6	0.8	25.0
e13	2	2012-06-21	1	2	1	27.0
e13	2	2012-08-07	1	2	2	29.0
e13	2	2012-06-21	1	3	1	33.0
e13	2	2012-08-07	1	2	1	36.0
e14	2	2012-10-16	0.9	1.6	0.2	2.5
e14	2	2012-10-16	0.77	0.86	0.06	3.5
e14	1	1992-10-25	2.6	1.6	0.2	4.9
e14	3	2014-04-19	0.59	0.84	0.08	4.9
e14	2	2012-10-16	0.43	0.84	0.05	4.9
e14	3	2014-04-19	0.38	0.52	0.05	5.9
e14	2	2012-10-16	0.33	0.58	0.04	5.9
e14	1	1992-10-25	1.9	0.6	0.06	8.4
e14	2	2013-03-02	1.6	1.2	0.1	12.6
e14	2	2013-03-02	1	0.95	0.09	14.1
e14	1	1993-03-16	0.8	1	0.5	22.5
e14	2	2012-05-31	1.8	1.9	0.8	25.0
e14	2	2012-06-21	2	2	1	27.0
e14	2	2012-08-07	1	2	2	29.0
e14	2	2012-06-21	1	2	1	33.0
e14	2	2012-08-07	1	2	1	36.0
e15	2	2012-10-16	1	1.1	0.2	2.5
e15	2	2012-10-16	0.42	0.6	0.06	3.5
e15	3	2014-04-19	0.35	0.35	0.08	4.9
e15	1	1992-10-25	0.7	0.8	0.2	4.9
e15	2	2012-10-16	0.31	0.36	0.05	4.9
e15	2	2012-10-16	0.17	0.26	0.04	5.9
e15	3	2014-04-19	0.25	0.31	0.05	5.9
e15	1	1992-10-25	1	0.79	0.06	8.4

e15	2	2013-03-02	0.4	0.5	0.1	12.6
e15	2	2013-03-02	0.31	0.41	0.09	14.1
e15	1	1993-03-16	-	-	0.5	22.5
e15	2	2012-05-31	0.9	1.8	0.8	25.0
e15	2	2012-06-21	5	7	1	27.0
e15	2	2012-08-07	4	8	2	29.0
e15	2	2012-06-21	-	-	1	33.0
e15	2	2012-08-07	-	-	1	36.0
e16	2	2012-10-16	0.3	0.4	0.2	2.5
e16	2	2012-10-16	0.16	0.2	0.06	3.5
e16	1	1992-10-25	0.4	0.5	0.2	4.9
e16	3	2014-04-19	0.13	0.15	0.08	4.9
e16	2	2012-10-16	0.05	0.15	0.05	4.9
e16	3	2014-04-19	0.08	0.13	0.05	5.9
e16	2	2012-10-16	0.05	0.14	0.04	5.9
e16	1	1992-10-25	0.41	0.25	0.06	8.4
e16	2	2013-03-02	0.2	0.3	0.1	12.6
e16	2	2013-03-02	0.31	0.37	0.09	14.1
e16	1	1993-03-16	0.6	1	0.5	22.5
e16	2	2012-05-31	-	-	0.8	25.0
e16	2	2012-06-21	-	-	1	27.0
e16	2	2012-08-07	-	-	2	29.0
e16	2	2012-06-21	-0	2	1	33.0
e16	2	2012-08-07	-0	2	1	36.0
e17	2	2012-10-16	0.1	0.5	0.2	2.5
e17	2	2012-10-16	0.15	0.29	0.06	3.5
e17	3	2014-04-19	0.19	0.21	0.08	4.9
e17	1	1992-10-25	0.8	0.6	0.2	4.9
e17	2	2012-10-16	0.07	0.22	0.05	4.9
e17	2	2012-10-16	0.11	0.17	0.04	5.9
e17	3	2014-04-19	0.13	0.15	0.05	5.9
e17	1	1992-10-25	0.53	0.29	0.06	8.4
e17	2	2013-03-02	0.1	0.3	0.1	12.6
e17	2	2013-03-02	0.2	0.26	0.09	14.1
e17	1	1993-03-16	-	-	0.5	22.5
e17	2	2012-05-31	-	-	0.8	25.0
e17	2	2012-06-21	-	-	1	27.0
e17	2	2012-08-07	-	-	2	29.0
e17	2	2012-06-21	0	2	1	33.0
e17	2	2012-08-07	-1	2	1	36.0
e18	2	2012-10-16	0.8	0.8	0.2	2.5
e18	2	2012-10-16	0.47	0.49	0.06	3.5
e18	1	1992-10-25	1.2	0.8	0.2	4.9
e18	2	2012-10-16	0.43	0.48	0.05	4.9
e18	3	2014-04-19	0.51	0.37	0.08	4.9
e18	2	2012-10-16	0.37	0.5	0.04	5.9
e18	3	2014-04-19	0.52	0.48	0.05	5.9
e18	1	1992-10-25	0.81	0.38	0.06	8.4
e18	2	2013-03-02	1.1	1.2	0.1	12.6
e18	2	2013-03-02	1.1	1.1	0.09	14.1
e18	1	1993-03-16	1.5	1.8	0.5	22.5
e18	2	2012-05-31	-	-	0.8	25.0
e18	2	2012-06-21	-	-	1	27.0
e18	2	2012-08-07	-	-	2	29.0
e18	2	2012-06-21	-0	1	1	33.0
e18	2	2012-08-07	2	3	1	36.0
e19	2	2012-10-16	1.9	2	0.2	2.5
e19	2	2012-10-16	0.56	0.73	0.06	3.5
e19	2	2012-10-16	0.33	0.52	0.05	4.9
e19	3	2014-04-19	0.82	0.57	0.08	4.9
e19	1	1992-10-25	1.9	1.1	0.2	4.9
e19	3	2014-04-19	0.51	0.38	0.05	5.9
e19	2	2012-10-16	0.41	0.48	0.04	5.9
e19	1	1992-10-25	1.5	0.92	0.06	8.4

e19	2	2013-03-02	1.3	1	0.1	12.6
e19	2	2013-03-02	0.88	0.81	0.09	14.1
e19	1	1993-03-16	0.3	1.1	0.5	22.5
e19	2	2012-05-31	-1.9	2.2	0.8	25.0
e19	2	2012-06-21	-0	6	1	27.0
e19	2	2012-08-07	0	0	2	29.0
e19	2	2012-06-21	2	2	1	33.0
e19	2	2012-08-07	2	3	1	36.0
e2	2	2012-10-16	6	6.4	0.2	2.5
e2	2	2012-10-16	8.5	9.2	0.06	3.5
e2	1	1992-10-25	14	14	0.2	4.9
e2	2	2012-10-16	13	13	0.05	4.9
e2	3	2014-04-19	11	11	0.08	4.9
e2	2	2012-10-16	14	15	0.04	5.9
e2	3	2014-04-19	14	15	0.05	5.9
e2	1	1992-10-25	27	27	0.06	8.4
e2	2	2013-03-02	98	98	0.1	12.6
e2	2	2013-03-02	1×10^2	1×10^2	0.09	14.1
e2	1	1993-03-16	1.6×10^2	1.6×10^2	0.5	22.5
e2	2	2012-05-31	1.9×10^2	1.9×10^2	0.8	25.0
e2	2	2012-06-21	1.6×10^2	1.7×10^2	1	27.0
e2	2	2012-08-07	1.1×10^2	1.2×10^2	2	29.0
e2	2	2012-06-21	1.5×10^2	1.5×10^2	1	33.0
e2	2	2012-08-07	89	92	1	36.0
e20	2	2012-10-16	0.5	1.3	0.2	2.5
e20	2	2012-10-16	0.52	0.74	0.06	3.5
e20	2	2012-10-16	0.4	0.6	0.05	4.9
e20	1	1992-10-25	1.9	1.1	0.2	4.9
e20	3	2014-04-19	-0.09	0.49	0.08	4.9
e20	2	2012-10-16	0.29	0.45	0.04	5.9
e20	3	2014-04-19	0.26	0.38	0.05	5.9
e20	1	1992-10-25	1.3	0.34	0.06	8.4
e20	2	2013-03-02	0.8	0.6	0.1	12.6
e20	2	2013-03-02	0.46	0.42	0.09	14.1
e20	1	1993-03-16	0	0.9	0.5	22.5
e20	2	2012-05-31	0.3	1	0.8	25.0
e20	2	2012-06-21	-0	2	1	27.0
e20	2	2012-08-07	-2	2	2	29.0
e20	2	2012-06-21	-1	2	1	33.0
e20	2	2012-08-07	1	2	1	36.0
e21	2	2012-10-16	0.5	1.4	0.2	2.5
e21	2	2012-10-16	0.52	0.76	0.06	3.5
e21	1	1992-10-25	1.9	1	0.2	4.9
e21	2	2012-10-16	0.25	0.46	0.05	4.9
e21	3	2014-04-19	-0.17	0.4	0.08	4.9
e21	2	2012-10-16	0.11	0.28	0.04	5.9
e21	3	2014-04-19	0.12	0.24	0.05	5.9
e21	1	1992-10-25	1.4	0.43	0.06	8.4
e21	2	2013-03-02	0.8	0.6	0.1	12.6
e21	2	2013-03-02	0.41	0.38	0.09	14.1
e21	1	1993-03-16	0.4	1.1	0.5	22.5
e21	2	2012-05-31	0	1.3	0.8	25.0
e21	2	2012-06-21	-0	2	1	27.0
e21	2	2012-08-07	-2	3	2	29.0
e21	2	2012-06-21	-0	2	1	33.0
e21	2	2012-08-07	2	3	1	36.0
e22	2	2012-10-16	0.2	1.1	0.2	2.5
e22	2	2012-10-16	0.1	0.33	0.06	3.5
e22	3	2014-04-19	-0.27	0.31	0.08	4.9
e22	1	1992-10-25	2.1	1.2	0.2	4.9
e22	2	2012-10-16	0.12	0.33	0.05	4.9
e22	3	2014-04-19	0.16	0.25	0.05	5.9
e22	2	2012-10-16	0.12	0.28	0.04	5.9

e22	1	1992-10-25	1.5	0.46	0.06	8.4
e22	2	2013-03-02	0.5	0.3	0.1	12.6
e22	2	2013-03-02	0.32	0.24	0.09	14.1
e22	1	1993-03-16	0.4	1	0.5	22.5
e22	2	2012-05-31	0.5	2	0.8	25.0
e22	2	2012-06-21	-0	1	1	27.0
e22	2	2012-08-07	0	3	2	29.0
e22	2	2012-06-21	0	2	1	33.0
e22	2	2012-08-07	0	2	1	36.0
e23	2	2012-10-16	-0.1	1	0.2	2.5
e23	2	2012-10-16	0.29	0.39	0.06	3.5
e23	1	1992-10-25	1.5	1	0.2	4.9
e23	2	2012-10-16	0	0.18	0.05	4.9
e23	3	2014-04-19	0.09	0.25	0.08	4.9
e23	2	2012-10-16	0.1	0.2	0.04	5.9
e23	3	2014-04-19	0.04	0.13	0.05	5.9
e23	1	1992-10-25	1	0.35	0.06	8.4
e23	2	2013-03-02	0.5	0.4	0.1	12.6
e23	2	2013-03-02	0.27	0.28	0.09	14.1
e23	1	1993-03-16	-0.5	0.9	0.5	22.5
e23	2	2012-05-31	0.5	1.3	0.8	25.0
e23	2	2012-06-21	1	3	1	27.0
e23	2	2012-08-07	2	3	2	29.0
e23	2	2012-06-21	0	1	1	33.0
e23	2	2012-08-07	1	2	1	36.0
e3	2	2012-10-16	4.8	5.1	0.2	2.5
e3	2	2012-10-16	6	6.3	0.06	3.5
e3	1	1992-10-25	8	7.9	0.2	4.9
e3	2	2012-10-16	7.3	7.6	0.05	4.9
e3	3	2014-04-19	6.6	6.8	0.08	4.9
e3	2	2012-10-16	7.1	7.3	0.04	5.9
e3	3	2014-04-19	6.6	6.9	0.05	5.9
e3	1	1992-10-25	6.9	6.3	0.06	8.4
e3	2	2013-03-02	15	16	0.1	12.6
e3	2	2013-03-02	14	14	0.09	14.1
e3	1	1993-03-16	9.7	12	0.5	22.5
e3	2	2012-05-31	10	12	0.8	25.0
e3	2	2012-06-21	8	11	1	27.0
e3	2	2012-08-07	5	9	2	29.0
e3	2	2012-06-21	5	8	1	33.0
e3	2	2012-08-07	3	7	1	36.0
e4	2	2012-10-16	1.6	1.8	0.2	2.5
e4	2	2012-10-16	2.3	2.6	0.06	3.5
e4	2	2012-10-16	3.7	4	0.05	4.9
e4	1	1992-10-25	3.6	3.6	0.2	4.9
e4	3	2014-04-19	3.3	3.6	0.08	4.9
e4	2	2012-10-16	4.2	4.4	0.04	5.9
e4	3	2014-04-19	4.3	4.6	0.05	5.9
e4	1	1992-10-25	2.8	2.5	0.06	8.4
e4	2	2013-03-02	9.1	9.4	0.1	12.6
e4	2	2013-03-02	8.8	9.2	0.09	14.1
e4	1	1993-03-16	6.9	8.1	0.5	22.5
e4	2	2012-05-31	8.5	9.5	0.8	25.0
e4	2	2012-06-21	8	10	1	27.0
e4	2	2012-08-07	4	6	2	29.0
e4	2	2012-06-21	9	12	1	33.0
e4	2	2012-08-07	3	6	1	36.0
e5	2	2012-10-16	0.1	4.1	0.2	2.5
e5	2	2012-10-16	4.9	6.5	0.06	3.5
e5	3	2014-04-19	6.5	6.8	0.08	4.9
e5	1	1992-10-25	4.8	5.7	0.2	4.9
e5	2	2012-10-16	7.8	8.1	0.05	4.9
e5	2	2012-10-16	8	8.5	0.04	5.9
e5	3	2014-04-19	8.1	9	0.05	5.9

e5	1	1992-10-25	6.9	6.8	0.06	8.4
e5	2	2013-03-02	24	25	0.1	12.6
e5	2	2013-03-02	24	25	0.09	14.1
e5	1	1993-03-16	15	17	0.5	22.5
e5	2	2012-05-31	30	30	0.8	25.0
e5	2	2012-06-21	25	26	1	27.0
e5	2	2012-08-07	18	20	2	29.0
e5	2	2012-06-21	20	22	1	33.0
e5	2	2012-08-07	15	16	1	36.0
e6	2	2012-10-16	3.6	5.5	0.2	2.5
e6	2	2012-10-16	4.1	4.2	0.06	3.5
e6	3	2014-04-19	2.5	2.2	0.08	4.9
e6	1	1992-10-25	4.1	4.8	0.2	4.9
e6	2	2012-10-16	2.5	2.6	0.05	4.9
e6	3	2014-04-19	0.64	1.7	0.05	5.9
e6	2	2012-10-16	1.5	1.6	0.04	5.9
e6	1	1992-10-25	4.2	4.1	0.06	8.4
e6	2	2013-03-02	3.4	3.7	0.1	12.6
e6	2	2013-03-02	2.7	2.9	0.09	14.1
e6	1	1993-03-16	0.9	2.1	0.5	22.5
e6	2	2012-05-31	1.3	2.3	0.8	25.0
e6	2	2012-06-21	1	3	1	27.0
e6	2	2012-08-07	1	2	2	29.0
e6	2	2012-06-21	1	2	1	33.0
e6	2	2012-08-07	1	1	1	36.0
e7	2	2012-10-16	0.5	0.7	0.2	2.5
e7	2	2012-10-16	0.03	0.18	0.06	3.5
e7	2	2012-10-16	0.09	0.11	0.05	4.9
e7	3	2014-04-19	0.29	0.18	0.08	4.9
e7	1	1992-10-25	0.5	0.7	0.2	4.9
e7	2	2012-10-16	0.06	0.09	0.04	5.9
e7	3	2014-04-19	0.14	0.11	0.05	5.9
e7	1	1992-10-25	0.37	0.29	0.06	8.4
e7	2	2013-03-02	0	0.2	0.1	12.6
e7	2	2013-03-02	0.02	0.17	0.09	14.1
e7	1	1993-03-16	-0.1	1.7	0.5	22.5
e7	2	2012-05-31	0.8	1.2	0.8	25.0
e7	2	2012-06-21	1	2	1	27.0
e7	2	2012-08-07	2	6	2	29.0
e7	2	2012-06-21	-	-	1	33.0
e7	2	2012-08-07	-	-	1	36.0
e8n	2	2012-10-16	1.3	1.4	0.2	2.5
e8n	2	2012-10-16	0.86	1.1	0.06	3.5
e8n	1	1992-10-25	1.5	1.5	0.2	4.9
e8n	2	2012-10-16	0.81	1.1	0.05	4.9
e8n	3	2014-04-19	0.94	1.2	0.08	4.9
e8n	2	2012-10-16	0.92	1.1	0.04	5.9
e8n	3	2014-04-19	0.77	1	0.05	5.9
e8n	1	1992-10-25	1.6	1.1	0.06	8.4
e8n	2	2013-03-02	2.3	2.6	0.1	12.6
e8n	2	2013-03-02	2.4	2.7	0.09	14.1
e8n	1	1993-03-16	1.2	2.4	0.5	22.5
e8n	2	2012-05-31	4.7	5.7	0.8	25.0
e8n	2	2012-06-21	4	6	1	27.0
e8n	2	2012-08-07	3	5	2	29.0
e8n	2	2012-06-21	4	6	1	33.0
e8n	2	2012-08-07	4	7	1	36.0
e8s	2	2012-10-16	1.3	1.3	0.2	2.5
e8s	2	2012-10-16	0.86	1.1	0.06	3.5
e8s	2	2012-10-16	0.89	1.2	0.05	4.9
e8s	3	2014-04-19	0.94	1.2	0.08	4.9
e8s	1	1992-10-25	1.5	1.5	0.2	4.9
e8s	2	2012-10-16	1.2	1.4	0.04	5.9
e8s	3	2014-04-19	1.1	1.3	0.05	5.9

e8s	1	1992-10-25	1.6	1.1	0.06	8.4
e8s	2	2013-03-02	2.3	2.6	0.1	12.6
e8s	2	2013-03-02	2.1	2.4	0.09	14.1
e8s	1	1993-03-16	0.8	2.2	0.5	22.5
e8s	2	2012-05-31	3.7	4.8	0.8	25.0
e8s	2	2012-06-21	3	4	1	27.0
e8s	2	2012-08-07	1	3	2	29.0
e8s	2	2012-06-21	1	2	1	33.0
e8s	2	2012-08-07	2	5	1	36.0
e9	2	2012-10-16	2.6	3	0.2	2.5
e9	2	2012-10-16	2.2	2.5	0.06	3.5
e9	3	2014-04-19	1.9	1.9	0.08	4.9
e9	2	2012-10-16	1.9	2.1	0.05	4.9
e9	1	1992-10-25	2.4	2.1	0.2	4.9
e9	2	2012-10-16	1.5	1.6	0.04	5.9
e9	3	2014-04-19	1.3	1.5	0.05	5.9
e9	1	1992-10-25	1.8	1.2	0.06	8.4
e9	2	2013-03-02	2.8	2.8	0.1	12.6
e9	2	2013-03-02	2.2	2.5	0.09	14.1
e9	1	1993-03-16	0.3	2.4	0.5	22.5
e9	2	2012-05-31	1.9	2.6	0.8	25.0
e9	2	2012-06-21	3	5	1	27.0
e9	2	2012-08-07	2	4	2	29.0
e9	2	2012-06-21	2	3	1	33.0
e9	2	2012-08-07	3	6	1	36.0

Appendix B: Point Source Photometry Catalog

The full version of the point source photometry catalog is available in digital form from https://github.com/keflavich/paper_w51_evla/blob/master/tables/EVLA_VLA_PointSourcePhotometry.ipac. A sample is shown in Table 4. **To the journal: we would like this to be hosted by CDS.**

Figure B.1 shows cutout images with apertures for the source d4e. Additional figures for all candidates are included in a supplemental document.

Appendix C: Observation metadata

The observation metadata is summarized in Table C.1.

Appendix D: Velocity Field in W51e2

Many works have examined the velocity field of the gas in W51e2 (Zhang & Ho 1997; Keto & Klaassen 2008; Shi et al. 2010a,b; Goddi et al. 2016). For comparison, we show the velocity field of a $6'' \times 6''$ region centered on W51e2 in Figure D.1.




Fig. B.1. Cutouts of the source W51 d4e and its SED. The red circle shows the source aperture used to measure the peak and total flux density. The red line shows a $1''$ scalebar. The Epochs are indicated as E1, E2, and E3. In the SED plot, the dark and light red show the $1\text{-}\sigma$ and $3\text{-}\sigma$ noise levels. The SED plot is shown twice, first linear-linear, and second linear-log. Green points are from Epoch 1, blue points are Epoch 2, and red points are Epoch 3. Squares show the peak flux density, circles show the ‘background-subtracted’ flux density - i.e., the peak minus the minimum value in the map. The dotted curve shows a linear SED ($S_\nu \propto \nu$) and the dashed shows a power-law curve with $\alpha = 2$, i.e. $S_\nu \propto \nu^2$. Both curves are normalized to go through the 12.1 GHz Epoch 2 data point. In this case, all of the Epoch 1 (green) points are within the noise, while all of the Epoch 2 and 3 (blue and red) points are detected at $> 5\text{-}\sigma$ below 15 GHz.

Table C.1. Observation Metadata

Band	Program	TOS s	Config	Reference	BW MHz	Date	Notes
29.0 GHz Epoch 2	12A-274	5481	B	GodDi2015a,GodDi2016a	64	12-Aug-07	-
33.0 GHz Epoch 2	12A-274	5481	B	GodDi2015a,GodDi2016a	64	12-Aug-07	-
36.0 GHz Epoch 2	12A-274	5481	B	GodDi2015a,GodDi2016a	64	12-Aug-07	-
2.5 GHz Epoch 2	12B-365	778	A	-	1024	12-Dec-09	-
3.5 GHz Epoch 2	12B-365	778	A	-	1024	12-Dec-09	-
2.5 GHz Epoch 2	12B-365	658	A	-	1024	12-Dec-24	-
3.5 GHz Epoch 2	12B-365	658	A	-	1024	12-Dec-24	-
29.0 GHz Epoch 2	12A-274	5585	B	GodDi2015a,GodDi2016a	64	12-Jun-21	-
33.0 GHz Epoch 2	12A-274	5585	B	GodDi2015a,GodDi2016a	64	12-Jun-21	-
36.0 GHz Epoch 2	12A-274	5585	B	GodDi2015a,GodDi2016a	64	12-Jun-21	-
25.0 GHz Epoch 2	12A-274	3829	B	GodDi2015a,GodDi2016a	64	12-May-31	-
27.0 GHz Epoch 2	12A-274	3829	B	GodDi2015a,GodDi2016a	64	12-May-31	-
5.9 GHz Epoch 2	12B-365	777	A	-	1024	12-Nov-17	-
4.9 GHz Epoch 2	12B-365	777	A	-	1024	12-Nov-17	-
5.9 GHz Epoch 2	12B-365	658	A	-	1024	12-Nov-24	-
4.9 GHz Epoch 2	12B-365	658	A	-	1024	12-Nov-24	-
5.9 GHz Epoch 2	12B-365	2154	A	-	1024	12-Oct-16	-
4.9 GHz Epoch 2	12B-365	2154	A	-	1024	12-Oct-16	-
5.9 GHz Epoch 2	12B-365	777	A	-	1024	12-Oct-29	-
4.9 GHz Epoch 2	12B-365	777	A	-	1024	12-Oct-29	-
4.9 GHz Epoch 3	13A-064	4069	C	-	1024	13-Jun-04	-
5.9 GHz Epoch 3	13A-064	4069	C	-	1024	13-Jun-04	-
14.1 GHz Epoch 2	13A-064	4149	D	-	1024	13-Mar-02	-
12.6 GHz Epoch 2	13A-064	4149	D	-	1024	13-Mar-02	-
14.1 GHz Epoch 2	13A-064	14704	B	-	1024	13-Oct-01	-
12.6 GHz Epoch 2	13A-064	14704	B	-	1024	13-Oct-01	-
4.9 GHz Epoch 3	13A-064	15952	A	-	1024	14-Apr-19	-
5.9 GHz Epoch 3	13A-064	15952	A	-	1024	14-Apr-19	-
4.9 GHz Epoch 1	AD0128	6830	B	Mehring1994a	25	84-Feb-06	-
4.9 GHz Epoch 1	AM0367	10410	C	Mehring1994a	100	92-Apr-23	-
4.9 GHz Epoch 1	AM0367	6240	D	Mehring1994a	100	92-Aug-04	-
4.9 GHz Epoch 1	AM0367	4800	D	Mehring1994a	100	92-Aug-04	-
8.4 GHz Epoch 1	AP0242	2640	B	Gaume1993a	50	92-Oct-24	Uncertain provenance
4.9 GHz Epoch 1	AM0374	6860	A	Mehring1994a	50	92-Oct-25	-
4.9 GHz Epoch 1	AM0374	3350	A	Mehring1994a	50	92-Oct-26	-
22.5 GHz Epoch 1	AM0374	6360	B	Gaume1993a	100	93-Mar-16	-




Fig. D.1. Velocity (moment 1) maps of $\text{o-H}_2\text{CO } 2_{1,1} - 2_{1,2}$ in the W51e2 region using (a) the naturally weighted map and (b) the Briggs $w = 0.5$ weighted map. The X's mark Shi et al. (2010b) cores. The contours show the moment 0 maps integrated from 50 to 63 km s^{-1} at $[-0.4, -0.3, -0.2, -0.1, 0.010, 0.020, 0.030, 0.040]$ Jy km s^{-1} (natural) and $[-0.28, -0.21, -0.14, -0.07, 0.007, 0.0105, 0.014]$ Jy km s^{-1} (Briggs).